

# **Consideration on Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source**

**ILC-CLIC e+ studies  
12-Dec-2013  
T. Omori**

# Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source

## Issues: Physics

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?

# **Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source**

## **Issues: Physics**

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?**
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?**
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?**

## **Options: Accelerator**

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)  
We can make initial and operation cost reduction.**

# **Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source**

## **Issues: Physics**

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?**
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?**
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?**

## **Options: Accelerator**

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)  
We can make initial and operation cost reduction.**

- (2) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 147m undulator. (RDR solution)  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.**

# Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source

## Issues: Physics

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?

## Options: Accelerator

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)  
We can make initial and operation cost reduction.
- (2) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 147m undulator. (RDR solution)  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.
- (3) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator.  
We can make operation cost reduction, but slightly higher initial cost.  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.

# Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source

## Issues: Physics

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?

## Options: Accelerator

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)  
We can make initial and operation cost reduction.  
Do we give up Giga-Z, W-pair, and 208 – 240 GeV? -> If No ->
- (2) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 147m undulator. (RDR solution)  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.
- (3) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator.  
We can make operation cost reduction, but slightly higher initial cost.  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.

# Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source

## Issues: Physics

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?

## Options: Accelerator

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)

We can make initial and operation cost reduction.

Do we give up Giga-Z, W-pair, and 208 – 240 GeV? -> If No ->

(a) Add 10Hz later.

-> Change all refrigerators and modulators (Klystron PSs).

Very expensive additional investment, not realistic.

- (2) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 147m undulator. (RDR solution)

We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.

- (3) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator.

We can make operation cost reduction, but slightly higher initial cost.

We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.

# Low energy operation of undulator based e+ source

## Issues: Physics

- (1) Do we need scan at  $E_{cm} = 208 - 240$  GeV?
- (2) Do we need Z-pole ( $E_{cm} = 91$  GeV) running (Giga-Z)?
- (3) Do we need running at W-pair production ( $E_{cm} = 161$  GeV)?

## Options: Accelerator

- (1) Do not employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator. (Andriy)  
We can make initial and operation cost reduction.  
Do we give up Giza-Z, W-pair, and 208 – 240 GeV? -> If No ->
  - (a) Add 10Hz later.  
-> Change all refrigerators and modulators (Klystron PSs).  
Very expensive additional investment, not realistic.
  - (b) Apply 2.5+2.5 Hz operation.  
-> We can go to any low energy with 1/2 luminosity.
- (2) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 147m undulator. (RDR solution)  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.
- (3) Employ 10Hz operation. Employ 230m undulator.  
We can make operation cost reduction, but slightly higher initial cost.  
We can make low energy running at  $E_{cm} = 91, 161, 208-240$  GeV.