



Toward the Final Design of a TPC for the ILD Detector

Keisuke Fujii, KEK on behalf of the D_RD_9 team



LC-TPC





• Why MPGD Readout?



- We need high (>3 T) B field to confine e+e- pair BG from beam-beam interactions, then ExB is too big for conventional MWPC readout
- 2mm 2-track separation is difficult with MWPC readout
- Thick frames are unavoidable for MWPC readout



ExB spreads seed electrons along the sense wires, then avalanche fluctuation limits the spatial resolution!



Pre-LCTPC group incl. the FJ team, together, excluded MWPC option with a small protoypte TPC!



MPGD Options



After the initial stage of R&D with many small TPC prototypes, we are left with three options of MPGD TPC readout technologies for ILC, being tested at the Large prototype (LP) TPC at DESY.

I. Analog (Pad) TPC: Subject to the gas gain fluctuation in the gas amplification. Need to spread the avalanche charge for charge centroid.

(1) Multi layer GEM with the standard pad (~1x5mm²) readout : (charge spread by diffusion) Asian (KEK-Saga-Tsinghua) Module, DESY module Asian GEM module



(2) Micromrgas with the resistive-anode (pad: ~3x7mm²) readout : Saclay-Carleton Module

II. Digital (Pixel) TPC: Free from the gas gain fluctuation. Expect 20-30% improvement of position resolution in the case of digital readout. No angular pad effect. Theoretically the best but not yet ready for full implementation of a module.

(3) InGrid Micromegas mesh on Timepix chips (pixel: ~50x50µm²) NIKHEF-Saclay Module, Bonn-module

→ being tested in *Large Prototype* at DESY





InGrid+Timepix











C-• Large Prototype

PCMAG from KEK modified by Toshiba under the framework of DESY-KEK collaboration in JFY2011 to allow Liq.He-less operation

Being used for test beam experiments since June 2012

Can house up-to 7 modules

Asian GEM Module

Pad plane PCB from China

clay Micromegas

odule with 1st prototy

compact electronic

sian GEN

Modules

Spatial Resolution

Asian GEM Module Saclay-Carleton MM Module 2500.3 σ_x [mm] σ_0 60.5 ± 1.4 **B=1T** $N_{eff} 21.6 \pm 0.3$ B=1T 200 0.25 2012 data Resolution (µm) 2010 data T2K gas 0.21500.15 1000.1 MC (Neff = 28.5)50 T2K gas 0.05 0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 100 200 300 500 400 0 Drift Distance (cm) Drift Length: z [mm] Extrapolation to B=3.5T σ_{rφ}=100 μm 350 **Extrapolation to B=3.5T** 300 Micromegas ພື້<mark>0.25</mark> ອ້ B=17 a: LP1 config (smaller PRF at short drift) GEM 250Resolution (am) bl: no charge loss (~2010) (b3) b2: -0.03% charge loss 2000.2b3: -0.07% charge loss (~2012) 0.15(b2)150a) B=3.51 0.1 100(b) (b1) 0.0550 0 500 1000 1500 2000 Drift Length [mm] 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 220 20 60 0 40Drift Distance (cm)

Both options seem to satisfy the $\sigma_{r\phi}$ =100µm requirement!

8

Gevention Formula

Since TPC operates on the nice and old "gas physics"; ionization, diffusion, gas amplification and fluctuation, etc., it is possible for the GEM TPC (option (1)) to formulate a fully analytic expression of its spatial resolution to understand the LP TPC results, to optimize parameters of the GEM TPC, and to extrapolate them to the ILD TPC (R. Yonamine / KF)

$$\sigma_x^2(z; w, L \tan \phi, C_d, N_{eff}, \hat{N}_{eff}, [f]) = [A] + \frac{1}{N_{eff}} [B] + [C] + \frac{1}{\hat{N}_{eff}} [D]$$

[A]: Hodoscope effect/S-shape at the short drift distances

 $[A] := \int_{-1/2}^{+1/2} d\left(rac{ ilde{x}}{w}
ight) \left(\sum_{x} (aw) \left<\left< F_a(ilde{x} + y an \phi + \Delta x) \right>_{\Delta x} \right>_y - ilde{x}
ight)$ asymptotic formula ([B] term) $\sigma_x^2 = \frac{1}{N_{eff}} (\sigma_0'^2 + C_d^2 z)$ position average charge centroid systematics The constant term also scales as 1/N_{eff}! [B]: Diffusion + finite pad size term L=6.3 [mm] Ar $[B] := \int_{-1/2}^{+1/2} d\left(\frac{\tilde{x}}{w}\right) \left\langle \left(\sum (aw)F_a(\tilde{x} + \Delta x) - \sum (aw)\left\langle F_a(\tilde{x} + \Delta x)\right\rangle_{\Delta x}\right)\right\rangle^2$ diffusion-averaged charge centroid $\approx [A]_{z=0} + \sigma_d^2$ **0=6C** displacement due to diffusion for a single electron $\boxed{N_{eff}} := \left| \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i \left\langle \left(\frac{G_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{ij}} \right)^2 \right\rangle_{C}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i} \right\rangle_{N,k} \right|^2$ [C]: Electronics noise : effective # electrons $[C] := \left(\frac{\sigma_G}{\bar{G}}\right)^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{N^2} \right\rangle_N \sum (aw)^2$ $\left[\hat{N}_{eff}\right] \simeq \left[\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} G_{ij}}\right)^2 \right\rangle_G^{k_i, \sum_{i=1}^{N} k_i} \right\rangle_{N,k}\right]^{-1}$ 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 [D]: Angular pad effect $\hat{N}_{eff} \ll N_{eff}$ $[D] := \frac{L^2 \tan^2 \phi}{12}$ $\sigma_{r\phi}$ quickly deteriorates with $\phi!$: effective # clusters

Tracking Code for LP TPC and ILD TPC

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow t$ tbar @1TeV

Tracking Code (MarlinTrk): now fully C++

KEK developed Kalman Filter Package (KalTest)

Reconstructed Tracks

The continuous tracking in TPC is very robust against the backgrounds (including the micro curlers) at ILC reaching 100% tracking efficiency (> 1GeV/c) except the forward

A Kalman filter based tracking code for TPC at ILC has been developed (Li Bo/ KF), and implemented in the MarlinTPC code for the beam test data analysis as well as to the new MarlinReco for the ILD physics simulation

By eye – clear that this should be no problem for PatRec

* Claimed a clear demonstration of the robustness of a TPC operating in nominal RDR ILC beam conditions

Despite the more realism (cracks, support structures, and service materials) brought in to the simulator,

PFA performance is now better than that of Lol!

ILD Detailed Baseline Design

	- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3.1.1 Mechanical concept
		5.1.2 Detector assembly
	• • • • • •	5.1.3 Service paths and interfaces
	etter of Intent (2009)	3.1.4 General Safety Issues
		2.0 H.D. Dimment and Collimation 106
	~700 signatories	5.2 LD Algnment of the Teaching System 106
	~120 from Japan	5.2.2 Calorimeter Calibration 110
	•	3.3 ILD Data Acculsition and Computing
	- In	3.3.1 DAQ Structure
A STATE OF A	KE	3.3.2 Data Processing
	18	3.3.3 Outlook and R&D
		3.4 ILD Software and Toole
	Constrained in the second second	5.4.1 Detector Models in Mokka 122
		3.4.2 Marlin: Reconstruction and Analysis System
ALLEY CALL DALLEY CALL PARTY AND A		3.4.3 Monte Carlo Productions
		3.5 ILD - Machine-Detector Interface and Experimental Area
		3.5.1 ILD Push-pull issues
	Sine ***	5.5.2 Final Focus Magnets
		5.5.5 Deam Pipe and Interaction region
	NA	5.5.5. Experimental Area is A solution II C Sites 120
	Asis	5.5.6 Machine and Bactmands 131
Contents iii	4	ILD De ar delle 135
		1 D Performance
1 ILD: Executive Summary 1		4.1.1 Software in processuance studies
1.1 ILD Philosophy and Challenges		4.1.2. If D r d ng performance
1.2 http://www.intervention.com/		4.1. III) farticle flow performance
2 ILD Subsystems 9		4.1. Flavour equit promance
2.1 ILD Vertex System		4.1. 0 0 by 1 0 ector models
2.1.1 Baseline Design		1.2.1. Higgs recoil mass reconstruction
2.1.2 Fixel Fecanologies and Readout Electronics		.2.2 Tau reconstruction
2.1.4 Cooling System		4.2.3 Streng EWSE 144
2.1.5 Detector Mechanics	and of the	4.3 ILD Benchmarking
2.1.6 Future Prosperts		4.3.1 Common reconstruction tools
2.2 The LD Silicon Tracking System		4.3.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} h$
2.2.1 The Central Silicon 3, T. 3, 27, and ETE		4.3.3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$
2.2.2 Forward Silicon Tracking	na	4.3.5 $e^+e^- \Rightarrow t\bar{t}$ at Faux = 500 GeV 156
2.3 The LD TFC System		4.3.6 Other physics processes
2.3.2 Calibration and Internal Allemment of the TPFC . 42		
2.3.3 Status of R&D for the LCTFC	5	ILD Costs 163
2.4 The ILD Calorimeter System		5.1 Methodology of costing 163
2.4.1 Overview		5.2 ILD Work Breakdown Structure
2.4.2 The Electromagnetic Calorimeter System 46		5.3 ILD cest evaluation
2.4.3 The Hadronic Calorimeter System		5.3.2 Silicon tras
2.4.4 Particle now performance of the LLP calorimeter system 15		5.3.3 Time Prot
2.5 The LD Muon system/ tail catcher		5.3.4 Calorinete
2.5.1 Muon System Layout		5.3.5 Magnet .
2.5.2 Technologies		5.3.6 Muon system
2.5.3 Performance		5.3.7 Cost summer 1
2.6 The ILD Coll and Yoke System		5.4 Detector cest dep
2.6.1 Magnet Design		5.4.7 Scaling the
2.6.2 Solenoid design		54.3 Changing
2.6.3 ADD-DID design		5.4.4 Scaling the
2.6.4 Appendixy of the solution		5.5 Conclusion
2.6.6 Final tests and field mapping		
2.6.7 Iron Yoke Design	6	Summary
2.6.8 Barrel yoke design	-	Common section O TO Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
2.6.9 End-cap yoke design		2012 23 25 May, 2012
2.6.10 Yoke assembly		

3 The ILD Detector System

95

95

Entering New Phase D_RD_9

ILD Detailed Baseline Design now completed!

We are now entering the phase for the Final Engineering Design!

In addition to further R&D towards engineering design of the GEM or MM module on each side, we need to work together on the following:

- Common tracking and analysis software R&D
- Gating Device
- 2-Phase CO2 Cooling
- Readout Electronics: Analog-Digital mixed chip for (semi-)surface mounting

Common Tracking and Analysis Software

to compare different technologies on the equal footing for eventual technology choice

Kalman Filter Based Track Fitting in Non-uniform B Field

KalTest

arXiv: physics.ins-det/1305.7300

Basic idea of the algorithm

To use the helical track model of KalTest in the non-uniform magnetic field, we have to:

- assume the magnetic field between two nearby layers is uniform;
- transform the frame to make the z axis point to the direction of magnetic field.

Figure 1 : The updated track propagation procedure.

1/p confidence level 1000 Entries 1000 Entries p=1GeV Confidence Meen 0.09998 0.4767 Mean RMS 8.239e-05 25 Level RMS 0.29320.1001 0.1002 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.0998 0.1 1/p(GeV)

Works in B field with >40% non-uniformity !

Therefore we now have a segment-wise helical track model.

K.Fujii @ FKPPL/TYL 2013, June, 2013

14

Ion Gate

Contemporation and Important Contemporation In the Important Contemporation of Positive Ions and Ion Gating at ILC of the Important Contemporation of the Impo

Solved the Poisson equation for the simulated ion density distribution with proper boundary conditions and then estimated the distortion of drift electron trajectory by the Langevin equation (D. Arai and KF)

	without Gating Device	with Galing Device
Primary Ion	8.5µm	8.5µm
Secondary lon	60µm	0.01 µm
sum	70µm	8.5µm

For the secondary ions from the amplification, we **need an ion gate device** for the ion feed back ratio of >10⁻³ (measured both for the triple GEM and Micromegas) at the gas gain of 1,000.

The current options of the ion gate are limited:

- The traditional wire gate is expected to work, but introduces mechanical complications to the MPGD modules. We also need to check ExB effect.
- General System S
- Try a larger geometric aperture with new fabrication method?

wire gate

90µm

140µm

2P CO2 Cooling

• R&D on Power Pulsing and Cooling

Test with Dummy Module Comparison with simulation

2-PCO2 Cooing Circulation System at KEK

The two phase CO2 cooling system for the LC TPC R&D (Delivered at NIKHEF)

Readout Electronics

S-ALTRO 16 Development

as a Pre-advanced Stage

- Received back from foundry: Q1/2011.
- · Characterization done

Reference: "S-ALTRO prototype" 27.07.2010

Gas detector Signal Processor ? Our path not yet totally clear (definitely need collaboration)

S-ALTRO 16 Power Pulsing Test

Next Step

S-ALTRO

- 756mW / chip if no power pulsing
- 28mW / chip if 5Hz power pulsing Still too high!

Natural successor of S-ALTRO chip

- Very low power ADC: 4mW/ch, complete revision of other sections, too, for low power consumption.
- S-ALTRO \rightarrow GdSP 64 \rightarrow 128ch / chip ?
- Optimized DSP
- Fully accommodates power pulsing
- Section-by-section power management
- Applications: CMS high-η, ILD-TPC, ...?

Summary

K.Fujii @ FKPPL/TYL 2013, June, 2013

22

Image: Now and Future

- The France-Japan collaboration on the LCTPC R&D has
 - Clarified the basic principles to determine the spatial resolution through series of test beam experiments using a Large Prototype TPC and through development of an analytic resolution formula to understand their results, and
 - Gemonstrated that both the GEM and the restive anode readout Micromegas modules meet the ILC's $\sigma_{r\phi}$ requirement.
- In addition to further R&D for solving remaining issues towards the engineering design of the GEM or MM module on each side, we need to work together on the following common issues:
 - Tracking and analysis software R&D,
 - Gating Device,
 - 2-Phase CO2 Cooling, and
 - Readout Electronics: Analog-Digital mixed chip for (semi-)surface mounting.
- The France-Japan team has been the driving force of the LC-TPC collaboration. This tradition should continue towards the final design of the Linear Collider TPC.

Backup

C Performance Goals

Momentum Resolution: σ(1/pt) = 2x10⁻⁵ (GeV⁻¹) >200 sampling points along a track with a spatial resolution better than σ_{rφ}~100 µm over the full drift length of >2m in B=3.5T (recoil mass, H→µ+µ⁻). High Efficiency: 2-track separation better than ~2mm to assure essentially 100% tracking efficiency for PFA in jetty events. High tracking efficiency also requires minimization of dead spaces near the boundaries of readout modules.

Minimum material: for PFA calorimeters behind, also to facilitate extrapolation to the inner Si tracker and the vertex detector

