

Basic Physics Behind Operation of TPC

Part I

-- Fundamental Processes in the TPC --

Keisuke Fujii
ILC-TPC School

Beijing: 7-11 Jan., 2008, Revised on Feb.9, 2008

Purpose

Being a non-expert, I will focus on very basic principles, trying to introduce you, students excluding experts, to more advanced topics containing more practical and technical aspects to be covered by real experts in this school.

Emphasis will be put on concepts and philosophy, and hence practical examples will be minimum, for them take a look at excellent text books such as

V.Palldino & B.Sadoulet 1974: LBL-3013

F.Sauli 1977: CERN 77-09

W.Blum & L.Rolandi 1993

Fundamental Processes

Beam



Ionizations


→ Liberation of Electrons

$$P_I(N; \bar{N})$$

Normal incidence
(no angle effect)

No δ -ray

Drift Volume



Drift electrons

Drift and Diffusion

$$P_D(x_i; \sigma_d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_d} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2\sigma_d^2}\right)$$

$$\sigma_d = C_d \sqrt{z}$$

Amplification Gap



Readout Pads

Amplification and
further Diffusion

$$P_G(G/\bar{G}; \theta) = \frac{(\theta + 1)^{\theta+1}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} \left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}}\right)^\theta \exp\left(-(\theta + 1) \left(\frac{G}{\bar{G}}\right)\right)$$

Pad Response



Coordinate

Subjects to be Covered

- Liberation of electrons by ionization (dE/dx)
- Classical theory of electron transportation in a chamber gas and its applications
 - Behaviors of electrons in E and B fields
 - Transport coefficients: V_d , C_d
- Gas amplification
- Creation of signals
- Coordinate measurement

Subjects Left Out

- Electron attachment
 - CF₄, O₂ contamination, etc.
- Transportation of ions
 - +ve ion feed back and gating
 - Ion exchange and aging

These are very important in practice, but simply beyond the scope of my lectures.

Liberation of Electrons

Through Ionization of Gas Molecules
By a Fast Moving Charged Particle

This part will be brief, though it is a deep subject.

Ionizing Collisions

Various Ionization Mechanisms

Primary Direct Ionization

A charged particle going through a chamber gas ionizes gas molecules along its path and leaves a track of ionization. This is called primary ionization. The ionizing collisions are statistically independent, and hence the number of such collisions obeys the Poisson distribution:

$$P(n; \bar{n}) = \frac{\bar{n}^n}{n!} e^{-\bar{n}}$$

where the average number of collisions is given by the thickness (L) of the medium and the mean free path (λ) as

$$\bar{n} = L/\lambda$$

The mean free path is of course related to the cross section per electron in the gas and the electron density in the gas.

$$N\sigma_I \lambda = 1$$

The probability distribution for the free flight path (l) is then given by

$$f(l; \lambda) dl = P(0; l/\lambda) P(1; dl/\lambda) = e^{-l/\lambda} \frac{dl}{\lambda}$$


The average number of ionizing collisions per 1cm is about 28 for a minimum ionizing particle passing through an Ar gas at 1atm. This corresponds to an ionization cross section of

$$\sigma_I(\text{Ar}) \simeq 10^{-18} [\text{cm}^2] \sim \pi R_{\text{Ar}}^2 \times Z_{\text{Ar}} \alpha_{\text{QED}}^2$$

Secondary Ionization

The electrons kicked out from molecules, if energetic enough, will further ionize gas molecules. Some of the gas molecules might be excited to some intermediate state that can lead to further ionizations through a

process like



where A^* is an excited molecule and B is a molecule with an ionization potential that is lower than the excitation energy of A^* .

A^* is often a metastable excited state of a noble gas used as the main gas component (e.g. Ar) and B is often a quencher added to stabilize the gas amplification process.

A^* can also be an optical excitation with a long life time.

A^* = metastable \rightarrow Penning Effect

A^* = optical excitation \rightarrow Jesse Effect

Average Energy for Ionization

Only a certain fraction of the energy loss by the fast charged particle is used for ionization. We define "W" as the average energy required for the creation of a single ionization electron. Then the average number of ionization electrons along a track

of a length "L" is given by

$$\langle n_I \rangle = \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle \frac{L}{W}$$

The "W" depends on the gas and the nature of the incident particle, but it is known to be independent of incident energy if $E >$ a few keV for electrons or if $E >$ a few MeV for alpha-particles.

For a noble gas, "W" ranges from 46 eV for He to 22 eV for Xe. For Ar it is 26 eV.

The "W" values are typically a factor of 1.5 to 2 larger than the ionization potentials.

In order to see how the average energy loss depends on the particle's speed or on the nature of the gas, and to understand the distribution around the average, let us review next the Allison-Cobb formulation of the energy loss process.

Allison-Cobb Formulation

dE/dx as a photo-absorption/ionization by a virtual photon

A Charged Particle in a Dielectric

The energy loss of a charged particle that passes through a dielectric medium is due to the negative work done by the E-field created by the electrons and the nuclei of the molecules making up the medium:

$$dE/dx \cdot dx = -e \left(\sum_a \mathbf{E}_a \right) \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$

where e is the particle charge and the sum is over all the charges in the medium.

Averaging the both sides over a small tube around the incident particle, we have

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle \cdot dx = -e \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{x}$$

with

$$\mathbf{E} = \left\langle \sum_a \mathbf{E}_a \right\rangle$$

If we can determine the E-field by solving the Maxwell equations, we can get dE/dx

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle = -e \mathbf{E}(c\beta t, t) \cdot \beta / \beta$$

The Maxwell equations

The Maxwell equations in a dielectric with a magnetic permeability of one ($B = H$) read

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 4\pi\rho$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D} + \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j}$$

The charge and current densities are

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = e \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - c\beta t)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = c\beta \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$$

where $c\beta$ is the velocity of the charged particle that can be regarded as constant during its passage through the dielectric medium.

In order to close the Maxwell equations we need a material equation for the dielectric medium:

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|, \tau) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\xi}, t - \tau)$$

which expresses the dependence of the electric flux density on the electric fields at causally connected space-time points through a Green function G .

Defining Fourier transform of f as

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \equiv \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x} d^4x}{(2\pi)^4} f(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{-i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)}$$

we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

with

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 1 + \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|, \tau) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - \omega\tau)}$$

The dielectric constant being independent of the direction of the wave vector shows the isotropy of the dielectric medium.

Notice that the \mathbf{E} - and \mathbf{D} -fields being real implies

$$\epsilon^*(\mathbf{k}, \omega^*) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}, -\omega)$$

In the limit of $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - 1 \simeq \frac{i\tilde{G}(0)}{\omega} - \frac{\tilde{G}'(0)}{\omega^2} + \dots = -\frac{\tilde{G}'(0)}{\omega^2} + \dots$$

with

$$\int_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|, \tau) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}} \simeq \int_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|, \tau) = \tilde{G}(\tau)$$

since only the region near $\tau \simeq 0$ contributes.

The Solution

With the scalar and vector potentials

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = i\mathbf{k} \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{i\omega}{c} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - i\mathbf{k} \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

in the Coulomb gauge

$$\tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{k}, i\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)) = 0$$

we can translate the Maxwell eqs. into

$$k^2 \epsilon \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 2e \delta(\omega - c\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$

$$k^2 \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{\epsilon \omega^2}{c^2} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) - \frac{\epsilon \omega \mathbf{k}}{c} \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + 2e\boldsymbol{\beta} \delta(\omega - c\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$

The solution is hence given by

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2e}{\epsilon k^2} \delta(\omega - c\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 2e \frac{\omega \mathbf{k} / c k^2 - \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\epsilon \omega^2 / c^2 - k^2} \delta(\omega - c\boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{k})$$

The Energy Loss Formula

Putting them together into the energy loss formula after inverse Fourier transform, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle dE/dx \rangle &= -e (\beta/\beta) \cdot \mathbf{E}(c\beta t, t) \\ &= -e \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k} d\omega}{(2\pi)^2} (\beta/\beta) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot c\beta t - \omega t)} \\ &= -\frac{2e^2}{(2\pi)\beta^2 c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \int_{|\omega|/c\beta}^{\infty} dk i(\omega k) \left[\frac{\omega^2/k^2 c^2 - \beta^2}{\epsilon \omega^2/c^2 - k^2} - \frac{1}{k^2 \epsilon} \right]\end{aligned}$$

or with $\epsilon^*(k, \omega^*) = \epsilon(k, -\omega)$

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle = -\frac{2e^2}{\pi\beta^2} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \int_{\omega/c\beta}^{\infty} dk \left[(\omega k) \left(\beta^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2 c^2} \right) \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \omega^2 - k^2 c^2} \right) + \frac{\omega}{k c^2} \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \right]$$

The 1st term in the square bracket is from the vector potential (transverse) and vanishes at beta=0 while the 2nd term is from the scalar potential (longitudinal) and stays finite at beta=0. The energy loss is calculable when the complex dielectric constant is given. The formula suggests if the dielectric constant is real, there will be no energy loss.

The upper limit of the omega integral is of course finite in reality as constrained by kinematics:

$$\hbar \omega_{\max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma (m_e/m) + (m_e/m)^2}$$

where m is the particle mass and m_e the electron mass.

Allison-Cobb (PAI) Model

All we need is the complex dielectric const.

$$\epsilon := \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2$$

Allison and Cobb relate its imaginary part to the photo-absorption cross section of the medium with

$$\epsilon_2(\omega) \simeq \frac{N}{Z} \left(\frac{c}{\omega} \right) \sigma_{\gamma}(\omega)$$

where N is the electron density of the medium and Z the atomic number of the molecule. They then get the real part of the dielectric constant with the Kramers-Kronig relation

$$\epsilon_1(\omega) - 1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{P} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{\omega' \epsilon_2(\omega')}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ikx} &= e^{i(\text{Re } k + i \text{Im } k)x} \\ &= e^{i(\text{Re } k)x} e^{-(\text{Im } k)x} \\ &= e^{i(\text{Re } k)x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x/\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

which together with

$$k = \sqrt{\epsilon} \omega / c$$

implies the attenuation length “lambda” to be given by

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\lambda \equiv 2 \text{Im } k &= 2 (\omega/c) \text{Im } \sqrt{\epsilon} \\ &= 2 (\omega/c) \text{Im } (\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)^{1/2} \\ &\simeq (\omega/c) \epsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

for a low density medium such as our TPC gas mixtures.


On the other hand, the attenuation length is related to the absorption cross section for photons:

$$\sigma_\gamma(\omega) = \frac{1}{(N/Z)\lambda}$$

leading us to

$$\epsilon_2(\omega) \simeq \frac{N}{Z} \left(\frac{c}{\omega} \right) \sigma_\gamma(\omega)$$

The photo-absorption cross section for Ar is shown below.




The cross section is roughly consistent with what you expect from the geometrical cross section of Ar:

$$\pi(0.18 \text{ [nm]})^2 \times 8 \times \alpha_{\text{QED}} \simeq 58 \text{ [Mb]}$$

electrons in the outermost shell


The cross section can be translated into the imaginary part of the dielectric const. as shown in the next figure.



Using the Kramers-Kronig relation:

$$\epsilon_1(\omega) - 1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{P} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\omega' \epsilon_2(\omega')}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2}$$

we obtain the real part as



where the region near the L-shell peak is zoomed up to show the resonance effect.

These are, however, for real photons. The crucial step taken by Allison and Cobb was to extend this to virtual photons.

Kramers-Kronig Relation

Relation between Real and Imaginary Parts of Epsilon

Analyticity of Epsilon

The complex dielectric constant is analytic in the upper half omega plane as is seen from its definition

$$\epsilon(k, \omega) = 1 + \int_0^\infty d\tau \int_{|\xi| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\xi|, \tau) e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \xi - \omega\tau)}$$

It is real on the imaginary axis because of

$$\epsilon^*(k, \omega^*) = \epsilon(k, -\omega)$$

which is also easily derived from the above definition of the epsilon.

Recall also its asymptotic behavior

$$\epsilon(k, \omega) - 1 \simeq \frac{i\tilde{G}(0)}{\omega} - \frac{\tilde{G}'(0)}{\omega^2} + \dots = -\frac{\tilde{G}'(0)}{\omega^2} + \dots$$

with

$$\int_{|\xi| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\xi|, \tau) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \xi} \simeq \int_{|\xi| < c\beta\tau} d^3\xi G(|\xi|, \tau) = \tilde{G}(\tau)$$

The 1st term must vanish because of causality ($G=0$ for $\tau < 0$) and G 's continuity.

This shows that the integral of the epsilon over the upper semicircle vanishes. We can hence express the epsilon using Cauchy's integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\omega) - 1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \frac{\epsilon(\omega') - 1}{\omega' - \omega - i(+0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \left[P \frac{1}{\omega' - \omega} + i\pi \delta(\omega' - \omega) \right] (\epsilon(\omega') - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \frac{\epsilon(\omega') - 1}{\omega' - \omega} + \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon(\omega) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Moving the last term of the R.H.S. to the L.H.S. we get

$$\epsilon(\omega) - 1 = \frac{1}{\pi i} P \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega' \frac{\epsilon(\omega') - 1}{\omega' - \omega}$$

Taking the real parts of the both sides, dividing the integral path into -ve and +ve parts, and noting $\epsilon_2(-\omega) = -\epsilon_2(\omega)$, we have

$$\epsilon_1(\omega) - 1 = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\omega' \epsilon_2(\omega')}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2}$$

The Allison-Cobb Model


We have shown that the evaluation of the energy loss can be reduced to that of the imaginary part of the epsilon. As mentioned above, Allison and Cobb used the photo-absorption cross section to estimate the imaginary part. To show how, let us first introduce the general oscillator strength function "f" by

$$\epsilon_2(k, \omega) = \frac{2\pi^2 N e^2}{m_e \omega} f(k, \omega)$$

The general oscillator strength function is related to the dipole transition probability for absorption or emission of a photon. Since the ϵ_2 is an odd function of ω , the "f" is an even function of ω . Since the imaginary part of the epsilon is related to the absorption cross section we can hence express the oscillator function in terms of the cross section

$$f(k, \omega) = \frac{m_e c}{2\pi^2 e^2 Z} \sigma_\gamma(|\omega|)$$

Strictly speaking, the formula is valid only for real photons. Allison and Cobb, however, assume that this holds approximately for even virtual photons, as long as they are below the free electron boundary.



The assumption implies that the "f" does not depend on "k" in the resonance region.

Allison and Cobb further assume that the contribution from the region above the free electron line can be approximated as a contribution entirely coming from this line. The assumption is equivalent to ignoring the momentum of bound electrons. This seems a rather crude approximation, but it works as they respect the Bethe sum rule:

$$\int_0^\infty f(k, \omega) d\omega = 1$$

and set

$$f(k, \omega) = \frac{m_e c}{2\pi^2 e^2 Z} \delta\left(|\omega| - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}\right) \int_0^{|\omega|} \sigma_\gamma(\omega') d\omega'$$

From classical point of view, the oscillator strength function counts the number of bound electrons in a molecule contributing to a particular oscillation mode with the frequency ω . The Bethe sum rule hence just dictates that the total number of bound electrons in the molecule is const.

Putting these together, we arrive at

$$\epsilon_2(k, \omega) = \frac{Nc}{\omega Z} \left[\sigma_\gamma(|\omega|) \theta\left(|\omega| - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}\right) + \int_0^{|\omega|} \sigma_\gamma(\omega') d\omega' \delta\left(|\omega| - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_e}\right) \right]$$

Now all we need to do is to put this into the dE/dx formula

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle = -\frac{2e^2}{\pi\beta^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \int_{\omega/c\beta}^\infty dk \left[(\omega k) \left(\beta^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2 c^2} \right) \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon\omega^2 - k^2 c^2} \right) + \frac{\omega}{k c^2} \text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \right]$$

and carry out integrations. Since the 1st term in the square bracket is dominated by the resonance region due to the pole of the propagator, we can set

$$\epsilon_2(k, \omega) \approx \frac{Nc}{\omega Z} \sigma_\gamma(|\omega|)$$

Since there is no k -dependence here, the k -integral is now straightforward. As for the 2nd term in the square bracket, since it is dominated by the nearly free electron region, and since we are dealing with a low density material ($|\epsilon| \simeq 1$), we can set

$$\text{Im} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) = -\frac{\epsilon_2}{|\epsilon|} \simeq -\epsilon_2(k, \omega)$$

The Allison-Cobb Formula

With these approximations, we can carry out the integrations in an elementary way and obtain

$$\langle dE/dx \rangle = \frac{e^2}{\pi\beta^2 c^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[\frac{Nc}{Z} \sigma_\gamma(\omega) \ln \left[(1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2)^2 + (\epsilon_2\beta^2)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ \left. + \omega \left(\beta^2 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{|\epsilon|^2} \right) \text{atan} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2\beta^2}{1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{Nc}{Z} \sigma_\gamma(\omega) \ln \left(\frac{2m_e\beta^2 c^2}{\hbar\omega} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{Nc}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{\sigma_\gamma(\omega')}{Z} d\omega' \right]$$

with

$$\epsilon_2(\omega) = \frac{Nc}{\omega Z} \sigma_\gamma(|\omega|)$$

and the Kramers-Kronig relation

$$\epsilon_1(\omega) - 1 = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{P} \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\omega' \epsilon_2(\omega')}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2}$$

The Differential Cross Section

We can reinterpret the average energy loss formula as from discrete collisions of the particle with a bound electron exchanging a virtual photon with an energy $\hbar\omega$.

Denoting the differential cross section for the particle hitting the bound electron with the virtual photon by $d\sigma$, we can write

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = \int_0^\infty N(\hbar\omega) \frac{d\sigma}{d(\hbar\omega)} d(\hbar\omega)$$

Since there is no fear for confusion, we put

$$E = \hbar\omega$$

and compare this with the Allison-Cobb formula. We then obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi\beta^2} \left[\frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \left[(1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2)^2 + (\epsilon_2\beta^2)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{Nc\hbar} \left(\beta^2 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{|\epsilon|^2} \right) \text{atan} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2\beta^2}{1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \left(\frac{2m_e\beta^2 c^2}{E} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{E^2} \int_0^E \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E')}{Z} dE' \right]$$

The 1st and 2nd lines on the R.H.S. are from the vector potential (transverse photons) and the 3rd and 4th lines from the scalar potential (longitudinal photons).

The Beta Dependence

The transverse cross section vanishes in the $\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit, while the longitudinal cross section behaves as $1/\beta^2$.

On the other hand, in the $\beta \rightarrow 1$ limit, the longitudinal cross section becomes const.

The 2nd term becomes important in this limit and is related to the emissions of Cherenkov photons. As a matter of fact, recalling that $\epsilon_2 \ll 1$ and $|\epsilon_1 - 1| \ll 1$ for a low density medium, we notice that below and above $\beta = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_1}$

$$\arctan\left(\frac{\epsilon_2 \beta^2}{1 - \epsilon_1 \beta^2}\right) \simeq 0 \rightarrow \pi$$

Above, the 2nd term becomes

$$N \frac{d\sigma_C}{d\omega} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{c} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1(\omega) \beta^2}\right)$$

which is none other than the well known frequency distribution of the Cherenkov radiation.

The rest of the cross section can be put together and cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{NC}}{dE} &= \frac{\alpha}{\pi \beta^2} \left[\frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \frac{\beta^2}{\left[(1 - \epsilon_1 \beta^2)^2 + (\epsilon_2 \beta^2)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \left(\frac{2m_e c^2}{E}\right) + \frac{1}{E^2} \int_0^E \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E')}{Z} dE' \right] \\ &= \frac{a}{\beta^2} \left[\ln \frac{\beta^2}{\left[(1 - \epsilon_1 \beta^2)^2 + (\epsilon_2 \beta^2)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + b \right] \\ &= a \frac{1 + (\beta\gamma)^2}{(\beta\gamma)^2} \left[\ln \frac{(\beta\gamma)^2}{\left[(1 + (1 - \epsilon_1)(\beta\gamma)^2 + (\epsilon_2(\beta\gamma)^2)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} + b \right] \end{aligned}$$

The cross section hence behaves as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NC}}{dE} \simeq a \frac{1 + (\beta\gamma)^2}{(\beta\gamma)^2} [\ln(\beta\gamma)^2 + b]$$

where $|\epsilon_1 - 1| (\beta\gamma)^2 \ll 1$ and $\epsilon_2 (\beta\gamma)^2 \ll 1$. The conditions hold over a rather wide range for a low density medium with $|\epsilon_1 - 1| \ll 1$. In the relativistic region where

$$1 \ll (\beta\gamma)^2 \ll 1/|\epsilon - 1|$$

the X-section thus shows **the relativistic rise**

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NC}}{dE} \simeq 2a \ln(\gamma) + ab$$

The relativistic rise comes from the fact that in the $\beta \rightarrow 1$ limit the virtual photon gets closer to the real photon pole of the propagator and hence acquires a longer range, resulting in a larger cross section. This rise, however, saturates where

$$(\beta\gamma)^2 \gg 1/|\epsilon - 1|$$

and reaches an asymptotic value

$$\frac{d\sigma_{NC}}{dE} \simeq a \ln |\epsilon - 1|^{-1} + ab$$

The saturation due to a finite density of the medium is called **the density effect**.


The saturation sets in when

$$2 \ln \gamma \simeq \ln |\epsilon - 1|^{-1}$$

or when

$$\gamma \simeq \gamma^* := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|1 - \epsilon|}}$$

It is worth remembering that the minimum occurs at around $\beta\gamma \sim 4$ with almost no dependence on the medium.



It should also be noted that the saturation depends on the photon energy. In the free electron region (high omega limit), we have

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{4\pi N e^2}{m_e \omega^2} := 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} < 1$$

where the plasma frequency is given by

$$\omega_p^2 := 4\pi N e^2 / m_e$$

In this high frequency or high E region, the γ^* is given by

$$\gamma^* \simeq \frac{\omega}{\omega_p} = \left(\frac{m_e}{4\pi N e^2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{E}{\hbar}$$

The higher the energy, the larger the gamma at which the saturation sets in.

The Energy Transfer / Collision

For a low E, the resonance region dominates and hence the 1st and the 3rd terms of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi\beta^2} & \left[\frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \left[(1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2)^2 + (\epsilon_2\beta^2)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{Nc\hbar} \left(\beta^2 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{|\epsilon|^2} \right) \text{atan} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2\beta^2}{1 - \epsilon_1\beta^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E)}{E Z} \ln \left(\frac{2m_e\beta^2 c^2}{E} \right) \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{E^2} \int_0^E \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E')}{Z} dE' \right] \end{aligned}$$

give a major contribution. The E spectrum hence reflects the resonance structures of the photo-absorption cross section.

At high E values, only a quasi-free electron region will be kinematically allowed, and hence the 4th term determines the trend.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\pi\beta^2} \frac{1}{E^2} \int_0^E \frac{\sigma_\gamma(E')}{Z} dE'$$

Recalling the relation between the cross section and the oscillator strength and the Bethe sum rule, we have

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \rightarrow \frac{2\pi e^4}{m_e c^2} \frac{1}{\beta^2 E^2} = \frac{e^2}{c^2 \beta^2} \frac{\omega_p^2}{2 N E^2}$$

This is the Rutherford scattering formula. The formula indicates that the delta-ray production has a long tail characterized by $1/E^2$ behavior.

There is of course a kinematical limit to set the maximum energy transfer, but this limit is practically never reached since such energetic collisions create delta electrons which will make separate tracks.

The Bethe-Bloch Formula

Relation between Allison-Cobb and Bethe-Bloch

The Decomposition to T/L Parts

The dE/dx formula can be separated into the transverse and the longitudinal parts

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_T + \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_L$$

Let us now examine them separately.

The Transverse Part

The transverse part is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_T = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \omega \int_{(\omega/c\beta)^2}^{\infty} dk^2 \left(\frac{\beta^2 k^2 c^2 - \omega^2}{k^2 c^2 - \epsilon(\omega) \omega^2} \right) \frac{1}{k^2}$$

Replacing the complex dielectric constant by the Allison-Cobb model led us to the Allison Cobb formula. This time we will try to carry out the integrations directly. We assume that the epsilon does not depend on k following Allison and Cobb. The k -integral is then straightforward:

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_T = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \omega \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(\omega)} \right)$$

To proceed further, we will make full use of the analyticity of the epsilon in the upper half omega plane. We will try to move the integration path to the upper semicircle, since the epsilon reaches its asymptotic form there:

$$\epsilon(\omega) \rightarrow 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$$


and hence we can carry out the integration. There is, however, a cut on the imaginary axis if

$$1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(0) < 0$$

In this case, the discontinuity across this cut contributes to the integration along the real axis, too. We thus split the integral as

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_T = \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_{-C_\infty} - \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_{C_{\text{cut}}}$$

where we defined the integration paths as in the following figure:



The upper semicircle gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_{-C_\infty} &= \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-C_\infty} d\omega \omega \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(\omega)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-C_\infty} d\omega \omega \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \omega_p^2/\omega^2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 (1 - \omega_p^2/\omega^2)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{e^2}{2 c^2 \beta^2} \omega_p^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) - \beta^2 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

In the low density limit, since there exists no cut, this gives the total transverse contribution, which is entirely specified by the plasma frequency of the medium.

For a high beta value, the cut shows up and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_{C_{\text{cut}}} &= \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{C_{\text{cut}}} d\omega \omega \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(\omega)} \right) \\
 &= -\frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_0^l d\zeta \zeta \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(i\zeta)} \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(-\delta + i\zeta)} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2 \epsilon(+\delta + i\zeta)} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_{C_{\text{cut}}} = \frac{e^2}{c^2 \beta^2} \int_0^l d\zeta \zeta \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(i\zeta)} \right)$$

This represents the density effect. Putting these together, we arrive at

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_T = \frac{e^2}{c^2 \beta^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \omega_p^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) - \beta^2 \right] - \int_0^l d\zeta \zeta \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(i\zeta)} \right) \right\}$$

The formula corresponds to the 1st and the 2nd terms of the Allison-Cobb formula.

The Longitudinal Part

The longitudinal part is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_L = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \omega \int_{(\omega/c\beta)^2}^{\infty} dk^2 \left(-\frac{1}{k^2 \epsilon(\omega)} \right)$$

Slightly going off the Allison-Cobb model we assume that epsilon is k-independent.

We carry out the k-integration to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_L &= \frac{e^2}{(2\pi) c^2 \beta^2 i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{\omega d\omega}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \int_{(\omega/c\beta)^2}^{\infty} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{\pi c^2 \beta^2} \text{Im} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{\omega d\omega}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \ln \left(\frac{k_{\max}^2 c^2 \beta^2}{\omega^2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

We recall here that epsilon becomes real in the $\omega \rightarrow 0$ limit. This means that for the epsilon to acquire an imaginary part, there must be photon absorption that in turn requires some finite amount of energy to excite the lowest level. There must hence be a lower limit to the omega range of the integration as well as to the k-integration range.

Noting that

$$-\text{Im} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\omega d\omega}{\epsilon(\omega)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i \omega d\omega}{\epsilon(\omega)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{i \omega d\omega}{1 - \omega_p^2/\omega^2} = \frac{\pi}{2} \omega_p^2$$

we introduce such a limit on the k-integral with a frequency $\bar{\omega}$ defined by

$$\text{Im} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(-\frac{\omega d\omega}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right) \ln(\hbar\omega) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \omega_p^2 \ln I \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \omega_p^2 \ln(\hbar\bar{\omega})$$

where "I" is the effective binding energy.

Recalling the kinematic relation

$$\hbar\omega_{\max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma (m_e/m) + (m_e/m)^2}$$

we have

$$(\hbar k_{\max})^2 \simeq 2m_e \hbar\omega_{\max} = 2m_e T_{\max}$$

With these, we arrive at

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle_L = \frac{e^2}{c^2 \beta^2} \frac{1}{2} \omega_p^2 \ln \left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_{\max}}{I^2} \right)$$

that corresponds to the 3rd and 4th terms (longitudinal part) of the Allison-Cobb formula.

The Bethe-Bloch Formula

Putting the T and L parts together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle &= \frac{e^2}{c^2 \beta^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \omega_p^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 T_{\max}}{I^2} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \right) - \beta^2 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^l d\zeta \zeta \left(\beta^2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon(i\zeta)} \right) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\omega_p^2 := 4\pi N e^2 / m_e$$

and

$$T_{\max} = \hbar\omega_{\max} \simeq 2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2$$

The dE/dx Fluctuation

Energy loss per a finite sample thickness

The General Formula

Let x be a finite sample thickness and Δ be the corresponding energy loss.

What we need is a probability distribution function: $F(x, \Delta)$. The distribution must satisfy the following equation

$$F(x + \delta x, \Delta) = F(x, \Delta) \left[1 - \int_0^\infty N \left(\delta x \frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) dE \right] + \int_0^\infty F(x, \Delta - E) N \left(\delta x \frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) dE$$

where the 1st term on the R.H.S. is the probability of losing Δ in the first x and then nothing happening in the next δx , while the 2nd term on the R.H.S. gives the sum of the probabilities of losing $\Delta - E$ in the first x and E in the subsequent δx . This leads us to the following equation

$$\frac{\partial F(x, \Delta)}{\partial x} = \int_0^\infty N \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) [F(x, \Delta - E) - F(x, \Delta)] dE$$

By making Laplace transform

$$\bar{F}(x, s) = \int_0^\infty d\Delta F(x, \Delta) e^{-s\Delta}$$

$$F(x, \Delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty + \sigma}^{+i\infty + \sigma} ds \bar{F}(x, s) e^{s\Delta}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \bar{F}(x, s)}{\partial x} = -\bar{F}(x, s) \int_0^\infty dE N \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE})$$

with the boundary condition

$$\bar{F}(0, s) = 1$$

corresponding to its original form:

$$F(0, \Delta) = \delta(\Delta)$$

The equation is readily solved to yield

$$\bar{F}(x, s) = \exp \left[-x \int_0^\infty dE N \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE}) \right]$$

By inverse Laplace transformation, we get

$$F(x, \Delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\sigma}^{+i\infty+\sigma} ds \exp[s \Delta - x \int_0^\infty dE N \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE})]$$

This is a general solution. In principle we can numerically calculate the probability distribution once a concrete expression is given for the differential X-section (e.g. the Allison-Cobb). Landau analytically did the integral with the Rutherford scattering cross section.

Landau Distribution

Let E_0 be a characteristic energy (of the order of average binding energy) of atoms in the medium and E_{\max} be the maximum energy transfer from the particle to an electron in the medium. Landau assumed that the integral in the exponent comes only from the region

$$1/E_{\max} \ll s \ll 1/E_0$$

We will discuss physical meanings of this assumption later. The assumption ensures that we can always choose E_1 in such a way that

$$E_0 \ll E_1 \ll 1/s$$

Then we can separate the integral into two parts $(0, E_1)$ and (E_1, ∞) , and in the first we can make approximation

$$1 - e^{sE} \simeq -sE$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE}) dE &= s \int_0^{E_1} dE \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) E \\ &+ \int_{E_1}^\infty dE \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE}) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the Rutherford formula

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \frac{2\pi e^4}{m_e c^2 \beta^2} \frac{1}{E^2}$$

we obtain for the 1st term

$$\int_0^{E_1} dE \left(\frac{d\sigma(E)}{dE} \right) E = \frac{2\pi e^4}{m_e c^2 \beta^2} \ln \left(\frac{E_1}{E'} \right)$$

where we choose the lower limit of the integral so as to reproduce the Bethe-Bloch

$$\ln E' = \ln \frac{I^2}{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2} + \beta^2$$

In this way, we can take the bound electron effects approximately.

On the other hand, the 2nd term can be partially integrated to give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_1}^{\infty} dE \frac{1 - e^{-sE}}{E^2} &= \frac{1}{E_1} (1 - e^{-sE_1}) + s \int_{E_1}^{\infty} dE \frac{e^{-sE}}{E} \\ &\simeq s \left(1 + \int_{E_1}^{\infty} dE \frac{e^{-sE}}{E} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Again using $sE_1 \ll 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_1}^{\infty} dE \frac{e^{-sE}}{E} &= \int_{sE_1}^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \\ &= \int_{sE_1}^1 \frac{dz}{z} + \int_{sE_1}^1 dz \frac{e^{-z} - 1}{z} + \int_1^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \\ &\simeq \int_{sE_1}^1 \frac{dz}{z} + \int_0^1 dz \frac{e^{-z} - 1}{z} + \int_1^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \\ &= \int_{sE_1}^1 \frac{dz}{z} - \gamma_E \end{aligned}$$

where the Euler constant is

$$\gamma_E \simeq 0.577$$

The 2nd term now becomes

$$\int_{E_1}^{\infty} dE \frac{1 - e^{-sE}}{E^2} = s (1 - \gamma_E - \ln sE_1)$$

Putting the 1st and 2nd terms together, we arrive at

$$x N \int_0^{\infty} dE \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \right) (1 - e^{-sE}) = \xi s (1 - \gamma_E - \ln sE')$$

with

$$\xi := x \frac{2\pi N e^4}{m_e c^2 \beta^2}$$

Introducing a dimensionless scaling variable

$$\eta(\xi, \Delta) := \frac{\Delta - \xi \left(\ln \frac{\xi}{E'} + 1 - \gamma_E \right)}{\xi}$$

we finally arrive at

$$F(x, \Delta) = \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\sigma}^{+i\infty+\sigma} du e^{u \ln u + \eta u} =: \frac{1}{\xi} \phi(\eta)$$

The universal function $\phi(\eta)$ attains its maximum of about 0.18 at

$$\eta = \eta_{\text{MPV}} \simeq -0.05$$

It has a FWHM of about 4, suggesting that ξ sets the scale of energy loss.

Region of Applicability

Let us now examine the approximation we made to derive the Landau distribution and clarify the region of applicability.

Inspection of

$$\phi(\eta) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\sigma}^{+i\infty+\sigma} du e^{u \ln u + \eta u}$$

tells us that the major contribution comes from the region where $\ln u \sim 0$ or $u \sim 1$. The assumption for the important "s" region

$$1/E_{\max} \ll s \ll 1/E_0$$


can hence be translated to

$$sE_0 = \frac{u}{\xi} E_0 \sim \frac{E_0}{\xi} \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad sE_{\max} = \frac{u}{\xi} E_{\max} \sim \frac{E_{\max}}{\xi} \gg 1$$

or equivalently

$$E_0 \ll \xi := x \frac{2\pi N e^4}{m_e c^2 \beta^2} \ll E_{\max} \simeq 2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2$$

It says that the medium must be thick enough for "xi" to be much larger than the binding energy scale, while it must be thin enough to be much less than the maximum energy transfer per collision.



The Most Probable Value (MPV) position is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = \Delta_{\text{MPV}} &:= \xi \left(\ln \frac{\xi}{E'} + 1 - \gamma_E + \eta_{\text{MPV}} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2\pi N e^4}{m_e c^2 \beta^2} x \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{4\pi N e^4 \gamma^2 x}{I^2} \right) - \beta^2 + 1 - \gamma_E + \eta_{\text{MPV}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

which shows roughly the same beta dependence as the Bethe-Bloch formula.

Comparison with Data

Allison-Cobb (1980)




Figure 9 Experimental energy-loss distributions of Harris et al (1973) for π and e at 3 GeV/c in 1.5 cm of argon/7% CH₄ at normal density. The dashed and dotted curves are calculations using the model of Landau (1944) with corrections of Maccabee & Papworth (1969) and Blunck & Leisegang (1950) respectively. The solid curves are the predictions of the PAI model.

The energy loss

$$\Delta = n_I W$$

ionization
electrons

Average energy
for creation of 1
electron

Some numbers to
remember

$$W_{\text{Ar}} = 26 \text{ [eV]}$$

$$n_I(1 \text{ [cm] Ar}) \simeq 100$$

$$\frac{\# \text{ primary clusters}}{1 \text{ [cm] of Ar}} \simeq 30$$

Cluster Size Distribution

The number of electrons per cluster

Charge Size

Depending on the number of electrons made by 2ndary ionizations, each primary cluster has different number of electrons in it. Its distribution function is not easy to calculate from the 1st principle, since the number of electrons per cluster is typically a few and hence its statistical treatment as with the number of ionization electrons per a finite sampling thickness is inadequate.

What we actually measure with a TPC is usually the charge collected on a pad with a finite size. Individual primary clusters seem not to be our concern. This is, however, certainly wrong for pixel readout. Even for conventional pad readout, the cluster size fluctuation might be a concern, since its fluctuation affects the spatial resolution

for inclined tracks. What we need to know is the probability of the primary ionizing collision with an energy transfer "E" to yield "k" 2ndary electrons: $P_2(k; E)$. Once this is known, we can calculate the cluster size distribution as

$$P_{cl}(k) = \int dE \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \right) P_2(k; E)$$

This was done by Lapique and Piuz (1980) for a pure Ar gas. As said above, however, the calculation of $P_2(k; E)$ is a complicated process, since 2ndary ionizing collisions are no longer statistically independent. Their work was hence only partially successful. There is, however, a beautiful measurement by the Heidelberg group (Fischle et al 1991) that can be used in Monte Carlo simulations.

Cluster Size Data

The Heidelberg Group Experiment (Fischle, Heintze, and Schmidt 1991)

Table 2

Cluster size distributions $w(n)$; (a) data points: (b) numerical values referring to the full lines in fig. 12. In brackets extrapolation of the data for $n > n_{\max}$ according to the model described in section 4.3. All numbers in percent.

| n | CH ₄ | | Ar | | He | | CO ₂ | |
|------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) | (a) | (b) |
| 1 | 78.7 ± 1.20 | 78.6 | 65.6 ± 1.58 | 65.6 | 76.7 ± 4.23 | 76.60 | 73.0 ± 2.8 | 72.50 |
| 2 | 11.9 ± 0.35 | 12.0 | 14.8 ± 0.67 | 15.0 | 11.9 ± 1.46 | 12.50 | 16.2 ± 1.2 | 14.00 |
| 3 | 3.24 ± 0.16 | 3.4 | 6.49 ± 0.45 | 6.4 | 4.80 ± 0.63 | 4.60 | 3.80 ± 0.4 | 4.20 |
| 4 | 1.34 ± 0.09 | 1.6 | 3.37 ± 0.25 | 3.5 | 1.61 ± 0.31 | 2.0 | 2.00 ± 0.3 | 2.20 |
| 5 | 0.98 ± 0.09 | 0.95 | 2.44 ± 0.19 | 2.25 | 0.79 ± 0.26 | 1.2 | 1.10 ± 0.20 | 1.40 |
| 6 | 0.55 ± 0.07 | 0.60 | 1.41 ± 0.14 | 1.55 | 1.04 ± 0.31 | 0.75 | 1.47 ± 0.26 | 1.00 |
| 7 | 0.57 ± 0.07 | 0.44 | 0.78 ± 0.10 | 1.05 | 0.63 ± 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.60 ± 0.14 | 0.75 |
| 8 | 0.27 ± 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.95 ± 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.10 ± 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.84 ± 0.19 | 0.55 |
| 9 | 0.29 ± 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.63 ± 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.23 ± 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.52 ± 0.13 | 0.46 |
| 10 | 0.20 ± 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.62 ± 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.15 ± 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.20 ± 0.08 | 0.38 |
| 11 | 0.16 ± 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.42 ± 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.12 ± 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.42 ± 0.14 | 0.34 |
| 12 | 0.13 ± 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.28 ± 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.21 ± 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.21 ± 0.08 | 0.28 |
| 13 | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.37 ± 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.25 ± 0.10 | 0.24 |
| 14 | 0.12 ± 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.23 ± 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.08 ± 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.38 ± 0.13 | 0.20 |
| 15 | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.17 ± 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.04 ± 0.04 | 0.048 | 0.21 ± 0.10 | 0.16 |
| 15 | | (0.050) | 0.14 ± 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.00 ± 0.04 | (0.043) | 0.09 ± 0.06 | 0.12 |
| 17 | | (0.042) | 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.095 | 0.04 ± 0.04 | (0.038) | 0.13 ± 0.07 | 0.09 |
| 18 | | (0.037) | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.075 | | (0.034) | | (0.064) |
| 19 | | (0.033) | | (0.063) | | (0.030) | | (0.048) |
| ≥ 20 | | $(w(n) = 11.9/n^2)$ | | $(21.6/n^2)$ | | $(10.9/n^2)$ | | $(14.9/n^2)$ |

Cluster Size Distribution


Geometrical Size of Cluster

Geometrical Size

The delta electrons have a finite range and hence give a finite geometrical size to the primary ionization cluster.

Since the electric field due to a relativistic charged particle is perpendicular to its trajectory, the delta electron tends to be kicked out in the perpendicular direction. If the delta ray has a finite range, it would result in a shift of the charge centroid in that direction, deteriorating the spatial resolution. In the case of a TPC operated in a high magnetic field, the delta electron is curled up and hence the transverse cluster size can hardly be affected.

At B=0, however, the effect may be visible. I quote here an empirical formula by Kobetich and Katz (1968):



Typical delta-ray range values in Ar (N.T.P.)

$$R(1 [\text{keV}]) \simeq 30 [\mu\text{m}]$$

$$R(10 [\text{keV}]) \simeq 1.5 [\text{mm}] : 0.05 \% \text{ of collisions}$$

Cluster Size Distribution

Appendix (Delta-ray Kinematics)

Kinematics

Let the angle between the incident particle direction and that of the delta-ray emission be ψ , and let the maximum kinetic energy transfer be E_{\max} , we have

$$\cos^2 \psi = \frac{2m_e c^2 + E_{\max}}{2m_e c^2 + E} \frac{E}{E_{\max}}$$

with

$$E_{\max} = \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2\gamma(m_e/m) + (m_e/m)^2}$$

for a free electron at rest.

This implies that

$$E_{\max} \approx 2m_e c^2 (\beta\gamma)^2 \simeq (\beta\gamma)^2 [\text{MeV}]$$

for a particle with $m \gg m_e$.

For a minimum ionizing particle ($\beta\gamma \simeq 4$), we hence have

$$\cos^2 \psi \simeq \frac{E}{2m_e c^2 + E}$$

This formula tells us that on a purely kinematical basis, delta-ray emission should approximately be perpendicular to the incident particle direction, as long as we are talking about a delta-ray with an energy negligible compared to the electron mass.

Classical Theory of Electrons in a Gas

Why Classical Theory?

Is it OK to treat it classically instead of quantum mechanically?

Inter-molecular Distance

$$N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23} [\text{mol}^{-1}]$$

$$V = 2.24 \times 10^4 [\text{cm}^3/\text{mol}]$$

$$R_{\text{Ar}} = 0.18 [\text{nm}]$$

$$\longrightarrow V_1 = (3.3 [\text{nm}])^3 \text{ per molecule} \\ \text{at } 0^\circ\text{C, } 1 \text{ atm}$$

$$\text{Inter-molecular distance} \\ \simeq 20 \times R_{\text{Ar}}$$

Thermal Energies

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2} m \mathbf{v}^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} M \mathbf{V}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} kT = 0.039 [\text{eV}]$$

$$\frac{\hbar}{m \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle}} = 0.98 [\text{nm}]$$

$$\frac{\hbar}{M_{\text{Ar}} \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{V}^2 \rangle}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-3} [\text{nm}]$$

$$\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle} = 0.39 \times 10^{-3} c$$

$$\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{V}^2 \rangle} = 1.4 \times 10^{-6} c$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{V}^2 \rangle}}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle}} \simeq 4 \times 10^{-3}$$

The de Broglie wave length of the electron is small enough compared to the inter molecular distance implying that it is much smaller than the mean free path. The C.O.G. motion of the electron can hence be treated classically.

Boltzmann Equation

Basic Equation Governing Electron Transportation

- We often see formulae for electron drift and diffusion as derived from it, so it must be useful, but itself is rarely discussed in usual introductory text books.
- So, what is it?
- Where does it come from?
- And how?

- I can only show you a rough sketch, but I hope it will make you feel a little bit more comfortable when you see it next time.
- For (older) pragmatic people, it might become a little bit boring, but maybe it's OK even for them to recall their student time.

We will find that THE KEY WORD IS "PROJECTION"!

"PROJECTION" is a technique to forget about unwanted details and make life easy!

Phase Space

Stage where solutions dance, we only see their shadows

- Our system of interest
 - Ionization electrons drift and diffuse independently
 - It suffices to consider a single electron in a gas consisting of N gas molecules in a chamber (note: N is a huge number).

Microscopic Picture

Causal deterministic motion by


$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \sum_{b=1}^N \frac{P_b^2}{2M_b} + U_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + U_{\text{mM}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{X}) + U_{\text{MM}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$$

: Lorentz force (E,B)
: elec. + mol. collision
: mol. + mol. collision

Macroscopic Picture

Projection = Coarsification
= information loss

Stochastic probabilistic motion



Liouville's Theorem

Solutions flow as perfect incompressible fluid

Hamiltonian Equation of Motion

Motion of a phase space point:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ P \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}^T} \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} P \\ -X \end{pmatrix}$$

Time Evolution Operator

Write its formal solution as

$$\Phi(t) = D(t) \Phi(0)$$

then this is a 1-to-1 map because of the uniqueness of solution.

$D(t)$ forms an Abelian group:

$$D(t_1)D(t_2) = D(t_1 + t_2)$$

$$D(0) = 1$$

$$D(-t)D(t) = D(t - t) = D(0) = 1$$

Liouville's Theorem

$$J(t) = \det \left(\frac{\partial D(t) \Phi}{\partial \Phi} \right) = 1$$

The map preserves phase space volume.

Liouville's Equation

$$\rho(\Phi; t) = \rho(X, P; t) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{State density} \\ \text{function} \end{array}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^T} \rho$$

Proof of Liouville's Eq.

Proof is easy enough to give here

Proof of Liouville's Theorem

Equation of motion says

$$D(dt)\Phi = \Phi + dt \dot{\Phi} = \Phi + dt \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}^T}$$

resulting in

$$\frac{\partial D(dt)\Phi}{\partial \Phi} = 1 + dt \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}^T \partial \Phi}$$

We hence have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\frac{\partial D(dt)\Phi}{\partial \Phi} \right) &= 1 + dt \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}^T \partial \Phi} \right) + O((dt)^2) \\ &= 1 + O((dt)^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{dt} (J(dt) - 1) = \frac{1}{dt} (J(dt) - J(0)) = \frac{d}{dt} J(0) = 0$$

which leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} J(t) &= \lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \det \left[\left(\frac{\partial D(t-t_1)D(t_1)\Phi}{\partial D(t_1)\Phi} \right) \left(\frac{\partial D(t_1)\Phi}{\partial \Phi} \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\det \left(\frac{\partial D(t-t_1)D(t_1)\Phi}{\partial D(t_1)\Phi} \right) \right] \cdot \left[\det \left(\frac{\partial D(t_1)\Phi}{\partial \Phi} \right) \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{d}{dt} J(0) \right] \cdot J(t_1) = 0 \quad \therefore J(t) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Derivation of Liouville's Equation

In general, for any observable A:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} A(\Phi; t) &= \dot{\Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^T} A(\Phi; t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(\Phi; t) \\ &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^T} A(\Phi; t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(\Phi; t) \end{aligned}$$

Since Liouville's theorem requires that the state density stays unchanged, which implies

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \rho = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\Phi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^T} \rho + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho$$

This is actually a continuity equation in the full phase space of the system or conservation of probability:

$$\int d^{6(N+1)} \Phi \rho(\Phi; t) = 1$$

State Density Function

All we know about the ensemble

Microscopic Picture

Once an initial distribution is given, the state density function evolves deterministically according to Liouville's equation.

$$\rho(\Phi; t) = \rho(D(-t)\Phi; 0)$$

But how should we fix the initial distribution?

Ergodic hypothesis:


Probability is proportional to phase space volume

Thermal equilibrium = Equal weight

Macroscopic Picture

Projection = Coarsification
= information loss

Projected volume decides probability



Maxwellian Distribution

A detour which proves the power of ergodic hypothesis

State Density Function for Molecules


Ignore the electron, for the moment, and concentrate on the molecules, whose state density function in thermal equilibrium. Good approximation since we can safely assume that the molecules colliding with the electron never met it in the past.

Interaction hamiltonian of the molecules has a nonzero value only when the inter-molecule distance becomes negligibly small compared to its average determined by the gas density.

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{b=1}^N \frac{1}{2} M_b V_b^2 := \sum_{b=1}^N Y_b^2 = R^2$$

The phase space points uniformly distribute over the surface of a $3N$ -dim. sphere of radius $R = \sqrt{E_{\text{tot}}}$ \times $3N$ -dim. box with a volume L^{3N} . Note that the projection of spatial dimension simply gives L^{3N} .

$$\begin{aligned} S_{3(N-1)} \left(\sqrt{R^2 - Y_1^2} \right) &\propto \left(\sqrt{R^2 - Y_1^2} \right)^{3(N-1)-1} \approx R^{3N-4} \left[1 - (Y_1/R)^2 \right]^{3N/2} \\ &\approx R^{3N-4} \left[1 - \frac{Y_1^2 / ((2/3)R^2/N)}{3N/2} \right]^{3N/2} \\ &\rightarrow R^{3N-4} \exp \left[-\frac{Y_1^2}{(2/3)(R^2/N)} \right] \propto \exp \left[-\frac{Y_1^2}{k_B T} \right] \end{aligned}$$



Projection of Liouville's Eq.

Electron distribution as the projection of the full state fun.

Liouville's Equation

$\rho(\Phi; t) = \rho(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}; t)$ State density function

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \Phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi^T} \rho$$

Separating the part containing the electron's dynamical variables from the rest, we have

$$0 = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right] \rho + \sum_{b=1}^N \mathbf{F}_b \cdot \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_b} \right] \rho$$

+ Molecule Only Terms

Now project the both sides to the electron subspace by integrating out molecules' dynamical variables.

Notice that

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} = e \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{mc} \times \mathbf{B} \right] \quad (e < 0)$$

The "molecule only" terms become surface integrals upon integration and vanish because rho has the same value everywhere on the surface.

$$0 = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right] f + \sum_{b=1}^N \int d^3 \mathbf{X}_b \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_b \mathbf{F}_b \cdot \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_b} \right] f_b$$

where we have introduced
1-body distribution function:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) = \prod_{b'=1}^N \left(\int d^6 \Phi_{b'} \right) \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \{\Phi_{b'}\}; t)$$

2-body distribution function:

$$f_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{X}_b, \mathbf{P}_b; t) = \prod_{b' \neq b} \left(\int d^6 \Phi_{b'} \right) \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \Phi_b, \{\Phi_{b'}\}; t)$$

Collision Term

Time average over the collision period

Collision Term

We move the 2-body term to the R.H.S. and call it the collision term:

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{coll}} = - \sum_{b=1}^N \int d^3 \mathbf{X}_b \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_b \mathbf{F}_b \cdot \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_b} \right] f_b$$

for obvious reason. Notice that if it were not for this term, the electron would have behaved as a single particle in external E and B fields.

With this term, however, the projected trajectory of the electron will show a shaggy apparently random motion, though the full trajectory should be smooth and causal in the full phase space.

Noting that the 2-body system can be regarded as isolated during the short period of collision time and the collision motion averaged using the projected H:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{X}_b, \mathbf{P}_b) &= \frac{\prod_{b' \neq b} \left(\int d^3 \mathbf{X}_{b'} \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_{b'} \right) H(\Phi)}{\prod_{b' \neq b} \left(\int d^3 \mathbf{X}_{b'} \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_{b'} \right)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{\mathbf{P}_b^2}{2M_b} + U_{\text{mM}}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X}_b|) \\ &\quad + \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

which is none other than the 2-body Hamiltonian describing the collision.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{t-\frac{\Delta t}{2}}^{t+\frac{\Delta t}{2}} dt' \mathbf{F}_b \cdot \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_b} \right] f_b &= \int_{t-\frac{\Delta t}{2}}^{t+\frac{\Delta t}{2}} dt' \left(\frac{\partial f_b}{\partial t'} \right) \\ &= f_b \left(\phi_2; t + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) - f_b \left(\phi_2; t - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) \\ &= f_b \left(D_2(-\Delta t) \phi_2; t - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) - f_b \left(\phi_2; t - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $D_2(t')$ is the 2-body time evolution operator and

$$\phi_2 = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{X}_b, \mathbf{P}_b)$$

is the 2-body phase space point in question.

Collision Term (Continued)

Decomposition of 2-body fn. to products of 1-body fns.

Before and after the collision period of the 2-body system, their space coordinates don't change macroscopically, but their momenta may seem to jump by a finite amount.

Microscopically, however, the jump is a function of the impact parameter and their relative momentum and should be causal in our classical mechanical treatment.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{t-\frac{\Delta t}{2}}^{t+\frac{\Delta t}{2}} dt' F_b \cdot \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{P}_b} \right] f_b \\
 & = f_b \left(D_2(-\Delta t) \phi_2; t - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) - f_b \left(\phi_2; t - \frac{\Delta t}{2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic view point enters upon replacing the 2-body state density function by the product of the 1-body state density functions for the electron and the molecule in question.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_b(\phi_2; t) &= f_b(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{X}_b, \mathbf{P}_b; t) \\
 &\approx f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) F_b(\mathbf{P}_b; t)
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the momentum transfer is determined by the relative momentum and the impact parameter. This replacement drops the information on the impact parameter by throwing away the coordinate information of the molecule. This loss of information is the source of the stochastic nature of the collision process.

We hence make the replacement

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{X}_b \rightarrow \int d\sigma_b |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_b| \Delta t \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{P}_b = M_b \mathbf{V}_b \end{array} \right)$$

since the volume integral should be taken over the region where

$$D_2(-\Delta t) \neq 1$$

or over the X-section along the expected trajectory of the 2-body system.

Collision Term (Continued)

Time average over the collision period

Time Averaged Collision Term

Averaged over the collision time, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t-\frac{\Delta t}{2}}^{t+\frac{\Delta t}{2}} dt' \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{coll}} \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^N \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_b \int d\sigma_b |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_b| \\ & \quad \times [f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) F_b(\mathbf{P}_b - \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) \\ & \quad - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) F_b(\mathbf{P}_b; t)] \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we understand the time derivative as appropriately averaged over the collision period as above, and simply write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{coll}} &= \sum_{b=1}^N \int d^3 \mathbf{P}_b \int d\sigma_b |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}_b| \\ & \quad \times [f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) F_b(\mathbf{P}_b - \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) \\ & \quad - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) F_b(\mathbf{P}_b; t)] \end{aligned}$$

Since the same kind of molecules should contribute equally to the summation (rho should be symmetric under exchange of the same kind of molecules), we can rewrite this to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right)_{\text{coll}} &= \sum_k N_k \int d^3 \mathbf{P} \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}| \\ & \quad \times [f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) F_k(\mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) \\ & \quad - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) F_k(\mathbf{P}; t)] \end{aligned}$$

where N_k is the number of molecules of k-th kind. Noting

$$1 = \int d^3 \mathbf{X} \int d^3 \mathbf{P} F_k(\mathbf{P}; t) = L^3 \int d^3 \mathbf{P} F_k(\mathbf{P}; t)$$

we define the density of molecules of k-th kind $n_k = N_k/L^3$ and

$$\bar{F}_k(\mathbf{P}; t) = L^3 F_k(\mathbf{P}; t)$$

The Boltzmann Equation

The fundamental equation

Then we finally arrive at the Boltzmann equation:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) = \sum_k n_k \int d^3 P \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}| \times [f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{P} - \Delta \mathbf{q}; t) - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{P}; t)]$$

part flowing in


part flowing out

where the external force is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} = e \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right]$$

and the velocities are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= m\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{P} &= M_k \mathbf{V} \end{aligned}$$



Inelastic Scattering

A short comment in passing

So far, we have been assuming that the electron-molecule collisions are elastic as described by a scattering potential.

If we are to consider inelastic scattering involving some change of internal degrees of freedom of the colliding molecule, we need to expand the phase space to include the internal degrees of freedom and then project out these internal degrees of freedom as needed.

The resultant loss of information can again be taken statistically into account as in the form of the inelastic cross section.

We can hence regard the Boltzmann eq. as the one after this extra projection.

The Boltzmann equation, as it is, can hence be applied to those more general cases.

In practice, however, the inclusion of inelastic processes complicates the treatment significantly, since we can no longer assume that the relative speed stays the same before and after the collision.

After all, the physics that controls the electron transport in a gas lies in the collision term, and that's where all the complications come from. Calculating the properties of complex molecules from the 1st principle (=Q.M.) is often impracticable.

Transport Coefficients

Things you want to derive from the Boltzmann Equation

- We often see formulae for electron drift and diffusion as derived from the Boltzmann equation, but they are given almost always without proof.
- Where do they come from?
- And how?
- I can only show you a rough sketch, but I hope it will make you feel a little bit more comfortable when you see them next time.
- Some of you, pragmatic people might already have been pretty much fed up, but be patient recalling your student time.

Again we will find THE KEY WORD IS "PROJECTION"!

"PROJECTION" makes life easy!

The Boltzmann Equation

From now on we will work in velocity space

The Boltzmann equation in (x,v) space is readily read out from its (x,p) version:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t)$$

$$= \sum_k n_k \int d^3 \mathbf{V} \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}|$$


$$\times \left[\underbrace{f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}; t)}_{\text{part flowing in}} \underbrace{\bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V} + \Delta \mathbf{V}; t)}_{\text{part flowing out}} - f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V}; t) \right]$$

where the external force is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}} = e \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right]$$

and the velocity changes must satisfy

$$\Delta \mathbf{q} = m \Delta \mathbf{v} = -M_k \Delta \mathbf{V}$$



Before moving on, it is worth noting that the Boltzmann eq. implies a scaling between the gas density and the field strengths for steady state solutions having no $(x;t)$ dependence.

Velocity Space

Decomposition of $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t)$ to $n(\mathbf{x}; t)$ $\bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t)$

The probability density of finding the electron in the vicinity of \mathbf{x} is given by

$$n(\mathbf{x}; t) = \int d^3 \mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t)$$

With this, we can define the velocity distribution function by

$$\bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) := f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) / n(\mathbf{x}; t)$$

By definition this must satisfy the normalization condition:

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{v} \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) = 1$$

as is obvious by integrating both sides of the following over velocities

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) = n(\mathbf{x}; t) \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t)$$

Putting this into the Boltzmann equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right] (n \bar{f}) \\ &= n(\mathbf{x}; t) \sum_k n_k \int d^3 \mathbf{V} \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}| \\ & \quad \times [\bar{f}(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V} + \Delta \mathbf{V}; t) \\ & \quad - \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V}; t)] \end{aligned}$$

Notice that on the R.H.S. (collision term), $n(\mathbf{x}; t)$ has been factored out, since the collision is a very local phenomenon.

It is tempting to assume that \bar{f} will soon become independent of position and time due to random collisions with molecules, but this turns out incorrect as we will see next.

Simple Minded Factorization

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) = n(\mathbf{x}; t) \bar{f}(\mathbf{v})$ does not work!

Integrating both sides of the B.Eq. over the electron velocities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle + \int d^3 \mathbf{v} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right] n(\mathbf{x}; t) \\ &= n(\mathbf{x}; t) \int d^3 \mathbf{v} \sum_k n_k \int d^3 \mathbf{V} \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}| \\ & \quad \times [\bar{f}(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V} + \Delta \mathbf{V}; t) \\ & \quad - \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V}; t)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle := \int d^3 \mathbf{v} \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{v}$$

is the local average velocity, which is in general position dependent.

If we assume a simple minded factorization

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}; t) \approx n(\mathbf{x}; t) \bar{f}(\mathbf{v})$$

and integrate the both sides of the B.Eq. over the electron positions, noting

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{x} n(\mathbf{x}; t) = 1$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{v} (n \bar{f}) + \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\text{ext}}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \bar{f} \\ &= \sum_k n_k \int d^3 \mathbf{V} \int d\sigma_k |\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{V}| \\ & \quad \times [\bar{f}(\mathbf{v} + \Delta \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V} + \Delta \mathbf{V}; t) \\ & \quad - \bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) \bar{F}_k(\mathbf{V}; t)] \end{aligned}$$

The 1st term on the R.H.S. is zero since it becomes a surface integral where $n=0$. Combining this with the eq. on the left page yields

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right] n(\mathbf{x}; t) = 0$$

which implies a simple drift w/o diffusion, possible only if n is uniformly distributed.

Concept of Velocity Shell

Towards more realistic solutions to the B.Eq.

We will hence be forced to retain the time and position dependence in f_{bar} and think about another way of approximation.


The Basic Idea

The motion of the electron is dominated by random and almost isotropic velocity with a small modulation (drift velocity) due to the external E and B fields.

The Velocity Shell

We hence consider a fraction of the phase space where the electron has speed in the range $(v, v+dv)$, a shell of a 3-dim sphere in the velocity space.

For the class of phase space points in the velocity shell, the distribution should be almost isotropic with the small modulation.



We will then consider the velocity space in a spherical coordinate system:

$$\Omega = (\cos \theta, \phi)$$

Harmonic Expansion

Expansion in terms of spherical harmonics

Harmonic Expansion

In each velocity shell, we expand \bar{f} in terms of spherical harmonics as

$$\bar{f}(v; \mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{m=+l} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) \bar{f}_l^m(v; \mathbf{x}, t)$$

The distribution will then be dominated by low l spherical harmonics,

$l=0$ (scalar=monopole) : dominant

$l=1$ (vector=dipole) : drift

Average shell velocity

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_v} &= \int d\Omega_v \mathbf{v} \bar{f}(v; \mathbf{x}, t) / \int d\Omega_v \bar{f}(v; \mathbf{x}, t) \\ &= \frac{v}{\sqrt{6} \bar{f}_0^0} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1^{-1} - \bar{f}_1^1 \\ -i(\bar{f}_1^{-1} + \bar{f}_1^1) \\ \sqrt{2} \bar{f}_1^0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since we took the 3rd axis in the direction of the average velocity of the shell, this implies

$$\bar{f}_1^{-1} = \bar{f}_1^1 = 0$$

Ignoring $l>1$ terms, we can put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(v; \mathbf{x}, t) &\approx f_0(v; \mathbf{x}, t) + f_1(v; \mathbf{x}, t) \cos \theta \\ &= f_0(v; \mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{f}_1(v; \mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{v} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{f}_1(v; \mathbf{x}, t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The average shell velocity then becomes

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_v} = \frac{v \mathbf{f}_1}{3 f_0}$$

Notation

$$\langle l m | [\text{Object}] \rangle = \int d\Omega (Y_l^m)^* [\text{Object}]$$

Harmonic Expansion

Projection of B.Eq. to harmonic components

Harmonic Expansion of B.Eq.

All we need to do is to put

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{x}, t) &\approx f_0(v; \mathbf{x}, t) + f_1(v; \mathbf{x}, t) \cos \theta \\ &= f_0(v; \mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{f}_1(v; \mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{v}\right)\end{aligned}$$

into the Boltzmann equation, and project out $l=0$ (scalar) and $l=1$ (vector) components

$$\langle 0 \ 0 | [\text{B.E.}] \rangle = \text{Scalar Eq.}$$

$$\langle 1 \ 0 | [\text{B.E.}] \rangle = \text{Vector Eq.}$$

This projection is a tedious but doable mathematical exercise, at least for the L.H.S. of the Boltzmann equation. All you need to know is the composition rules of the spherical harmonics, which you must have learned in a Q.M. course.

I just show the results of the exercise.

The Scalar Equation ($l=0$)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n \mathbf{f}_1) + \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} v^2 \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot n \mathbf{f}_1 \right] \\ = n \sum_k n_k \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \bar{\sigma}_{m,k}(v; [f_0])\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_{m,k}$ is in general a complicated fn. If collisions are all elastic, a concrete formula is known (c.f. Huxley & Crompton)

$$n n_k \bar{\sigma}_{m,k}(v; [f_0])$$

$$= 4\pi v^2 n n_k v \underbrace{\sigma_{m,k}(v)}_{\text{effective collision frequency}} \left[\frac{m}{M_k} v f_0 + \frac{\langle V^2 \rangle}{3} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \right]$$

effective collision frequency
 $\nu_{m,k} := n_k v \sigma_{m,k}(v)$

The scalar equation can be interpreted as the continuity equation expressing energy conservation.

Scalar Equation

Interpretation of Scalar Eq.

First recall that the total weight of the velocity shell ($v, v+dv$) is

$$\tilde{n} dv = (4\pi v^2 dv) (n f_0)$$

while the shell averaged velocity is given by

$$\langle v \rangle_{\Omega_v} = \frac{v f_1}{3 f_0}$$

Putting these into the scalar equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n f_1) + \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} v^2 \frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot (n f_1) \right] \\ = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[n \sum_k n_k \bar{\sigma}_{m,k} (v; [f_0]) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and canceling out common factors, we get

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{n}}_{\text{change rate of the shell population}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (\tilde{n} \langle v \rangle_{\Omega_v})}_{\text{net loss of the shell population due to drift}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot \frac{\langle v \rangle_{\Omega_v}}{v} \tilde{n} \right]}_{\text{net loss of the shell population due heating up due to external fields}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[n \sum_k n_k \bar{\sigma}_{m,k} (v; [f_0]) \right]}_{\text{net gain of the shell population due to collisions}}$$

The shell population times the $mv^2/2$ is the total energy of the shell, and hence the conservation of population is equivalent to that of energy.

Harmonic Expansion

Projection of B.Eq. to harmonic components (continued)

Momentum Transfer X-Section

The collision term is characterized by a quantity called the momentum transfer cross section.

It is defined in general by


$$\sigma_{m,k} = \sigma_{0,k} - \frac{v_r'}{v_r} \sigma_{1,k}$$

where v_r and v_r' are relative speeds of electrons in the molecule rest frame before and after the collision, and their ratio is unity for elastic scattering, and

$$\sigma_{0,k} = \int d\sigma_k$$

$$\sigma_{1,k} = \int d\sigma_k \cos \theta$$

$$p \sigma_{m,k} = \int d\sigma_k p (1 - \cos \theta)$$



The Vector Equation (l=1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_1) + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) + \frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (n f_0) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (n \mathbf{f}_1) = -\bar{\nu}_m(v) (n \mathbf{f}_1)$$

where

$$\bar{\nu}_m := \sum_k n_k v \sigma_{m,k}(v) : \text{effective coll. freq.}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} := \frac{(-e) \mathbf{B}}{mc} : \text{cyclotron freq. vec.}$$

Notice that the electron charge is -ve, hence (-e) is +ve.

The vector equation can be interpreted as the continuity equation expressing momentum conservation.

Vector Equation

Interpretation of Vector Eq.

The effective collision frequency is related to mean free time

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\bar{\nu}_m}$$

On the other hand the total momentum of the velocity shell ($v, v+dv$) is

$$dp_{tot} = (4\pi v^2 dv) (n f_0) m \frac{v f_1}{3 f_0} = \frac{4\pi v^2 dv}{3} m v (n f_1)$$

Multiplying the both sides of the vector eq. by tau with this in mind makes the meanings of the vector eq. clearer.

This part remains even after the steady state is reached and hence should be kept as significant.

Quickly become small after injection

$$\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_1)$$

change of distribution during tau

path length

$$(\tau v) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0)$$

Can be large for a point source

velocity increase during tau

velocity change by a single collision

$$\left(\tau \frac{e E}{m} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (n f_0) - (\omega \tau) \times (n f_1) = - (n f_1)$$

rotation during tau

Vector Equation

Separation of Drift and Diffusion

The Vector Equation

$$\cancel{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n \mathbf{f}_1)} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) + \frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (n f_0) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (n \mathbf{f}_1) = -\bar{\nu}_m(v) (n \mathbf{f}_1)$$

We assume that the 1st term (t-derivative) is negligible compared with the rest. This assumption implies that the electron is in a quasi-equilibrium at least locally.

Then we have

$$v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) + \frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (n f_0) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (n \mathbf{f}_1) \approx -\bar{\nu}_m(v) (n \mathbf{f}_1)$$

We now decompose \mathbf{f}_1 as

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = \mathbf{f}_E + \mathbf{f}_G$$

to separate the vector eq. into the following two:

$$\bar{\nu}_m(v) (n \mathbf{f}_E) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (n \mathbf{f}_E) = -\frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (n f_0)$$

$$\bar{\nu}_m(v) (n \mathbf{f}_G) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (n \mathbf{f}_G) = -v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0)$$

Notice that these are linear equations of the form

$$[\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] (n \mathbf{f}_{E/G}) = [\text{fn. of } f_0]$$

that can be solved by matrix inversion,

$$(n \mathbf{f}_{E/G}) = [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times]^{-1} [\text{fn. of } f_0]$$

once f_0 is given.

Notice also that upon the integration over \mathbf{x} the contribution from \mathbf{f}_G must vanish.

$$\begin{aligned} & [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] \int d^3x (n \mathbf{f}_G) \\ &= -v \int d^3x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n \mathbf{f}_G) \\ &= \text{Surf. int.} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Vector Equation

Separation of Drift and Diffusion

Now recall that f_1 is related to the drift velocity of the shell through

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_v} = \frac{v \mathbf{f}_1}{3f_0}$$

We can hence rewrite the average velocity of the shell as

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\Omega_v} =: \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}_E + \mathbf{W}_G$$

with

$$\mathbf{W}_{E/G} := \frac{v \mathbf{f}_{E/G}}{3f_0}$$

Notice that \mathbf{W} is a function of the speed v and the position of the electron, and the average over the whole phase space sample is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle &= \int d^3x \int (4\pi) v^2 dv (n f_0) \mathbf{W} \\ &= \int \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} \right) v^3 dv \int d^3x (n \mathbf{f}_1) \end{aligned}$$

This means that the f_G and hence \mathbf{W}_G does not contribute to the average velocity of the whole ensemble:

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle = 0$$

We can hence interpret \mathbf{W}_E as the drift velocity due to the external field and \mathbf{W}_G as the convection velocity due to diffusion of the velocity shell at a given spatial point.

We will hence concentrate on \mathbf{W}_E for our discussions on the drift velocity v_D , while for our discussions on the diffusion we will focus on \mathbf{W}_G , which is our next task.

Drift Velocity

Mobility Matrix

We start from the equation for fE , which can be rewritten with WE as

$$f_0 [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] \mathbf{W}_E = -\frac{v}{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_0 \right) \frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m}$$

Notice that $n(\mathbf{x};t)$ does not depend on v and hence can be cancelled out.

We now introduce a matrix $[M]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [M] &:= [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \\ -\omega_3 & \nu & \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 & -\omega_1 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of a shorthand:

$$\bar{\nu}_m(v) \rightarrow \nu$$

For notational convenience, we will use this abbreviation in what follows.

Now all we need to do is a matrix inversion.

Recall your linear algebra course, then the reciprocal of the matrix M is given by

$$[M]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \omega_1^2 & \omega_1\omega_2 - \nu\omega_3 & \omega_1\omega_3 + \nu\omega_2 \\ \omega_2\omega_1 + \nu\omega_3 & \nu^2 + \omega_2^2 & \omega_2\omega_3 - \nu\omega_1 \\ \omega_3\omega_1 - \nu\omega_2 & \omega_3\omega_2 + \nu\omega_1 & \nu^2 + \omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \div \frac{\nu(\nu^2 + \omega^2)}{\det [M]}$$

with

$$\omega^2 := \omega^2 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} := \frac{(-e)\mathbf{B}}{mc}$$

WE can now be written as

$$f_0 \mathbf{W}_E = -\frac{v}{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_0 \right) [M]^{-1} \left(\frac{e \mathbf{E}}{m} \right)$$

which can be averaged over v to give

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle_v(\mathbf{x};t) &:= \int (4\pi)v^2 dv f_0 \mathbf{W}_E \\ &= [\mu] \mathbf{E} \end{aligned}$$

Drift Velocity

Mobility Matrix (continued)

The Mobility Matrix

We introduced the local mobility matrix:

$$[\mu] := -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int dv v^3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_0 \right) [M]^{-1}$$

which is in general a function of $(\mathbf{x}; t)$.

To get the position-averaged mobility suitable for the centroid motion, we define

$$f_0^*(v; t) := \int d^3x (n f_0)$$

and the (global) mobility matrix:

$$[\mu^*] := -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int dv v^3 \left(\frac{d}{dv} f_0^* \right) [M]^{-1}$$

With this, we can write

$$\langle \mathbf{W} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle = [\mu^*] \mathbf{E}$$

Notice that the mobility matrix is proportional to a unit matrix if $B=0$.

If there is a B-field, the mobility matrix will acquire nonzero off-diagonal elements and hence the direction of the E-field and the direction of the drift velocity will differ (so-called Lorentz angle effects).

Special Case [1] ($B=0$)

The matrix $[M]$ becomes "nu" and hence the $[\mu^*]$ becomes a single number:

$$\mu^* = -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int_0^\infty dv \frac{v^3}{v} \left(\frac{d}{dv} f_0^* \right)$$

The drift direction should be anti-parallel with the E-field. This suggests that the integral should be negative, since $e < 0$. Assuming that f_0^* has a single peak, and the integral weights more on the higher side of the peak, it is indeed so.

Drift Velocity

Mobility Matrix (continued)

Special Case [2] (B//E)

This is the case of our interest. Assuming that E and B are in the 3-axis direction, then

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inverse of [M] becomes

$$[M]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\nu(\nu^2 + \omega^2)} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 & -\nu\omega & 0 \\ \nu\omega & \nu^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu^2 + \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

But the E-field has no 1- or 2- components, there will be no 1- or 2-components in the drift velocity, either. Moreover, the 3rd component coincides with the B=0 case. There is hence no B-field effect on the drift velocity in the E//B case.

Special Case [3] (v-dist=delta fn.)

If the velocity distribution can be taken as a delta function:

$$f_0^* = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \delta(v - \bar{v})$$

Putting this into the def. of the mobility matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu^*] &= -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int dv v^3 \left(\frac{d}{dv} f_0^* \right) [M]^{-1} \\ &= -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} [M]^{-1}(\bar{v}) \int dv \left[\frac{d}{dv} (v^3 f_0^*) - \frac{d}{dv} (v^3) f_0^* \right] \\ &= \frac{4\pi e}{m} [M]^{-1}(\bar{v}) \int dv v^2 f_0^* = \frac{e}{m} [M]^{-1}(\bar{v}) \end{aligned}$$

The mobility matrix is thus parameterized by just two parameters, the collision freq. at \bar{v} and the cyclotron frequency.

Mean Free Time

Mobility Matrix (continued)

Usual Simplistic Arguments

Case (3) formula is usually obtained by time-averaging the Newtonian equation of motion.

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = e \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right] + \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}}$$

We define the time average of a variable A to be

$$\langle A \rangle_t := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt A(t)$$

Upon this time average, the L.H.S. of the Newtonian eq. vanishes, since we are considering a bounded motion for which the velocity stays finite. We hence have

$$0 = e \left[\mathbf{E} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_t}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right] + \langle \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}} \rangle_t$$

We now need to evaluate the time average of the collision force:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}} \rangle_t &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta T_i} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} dt \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}}(t) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \Delta T_i / N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_i - \frac{\delta t}{2}}^{t_i + \frac{\delta t}{2}} dt \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N m \Delta \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\tau} \langle m \Delta \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Notice that there appear the mean free time and the average momentum transfer. The momentum transfer averaged over all angles is easy to get for isotropic collisions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m \Delta \mathbf{v} \rangle_\Omega &= \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} m \Delta \mathbf{v} \\ &= - \int \frac{d \cos \theta}{2} m v (1 - \cos \theta) = -m v \end{aligned}$$

Mean Free Time

Mobility Matrix (continued)

We can think of the average that appears in

$$\langle \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}} \rangle_t = \frac{1}{\tau} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N m \Delta \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\tau} \langle m \Delta \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

being first taken over scattering angles for each group with nearly the same momentum and then over such groups. Then we have

$$\langle \mathbf{F}_{\text{coll}} \rangle_t = -\frac{1}{\tau} m \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

Collecting things together, we arrive at the time-averaged Langevin equation:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{(-e)\mathbf{B}}{mc} \times \right] \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m}$$

Notice that $1/\tau = \nu$ and

$$\omega := \frac{(-e)\mathbf{B}}{mc}$$

tell us that the content of the square bracket is the same [M] we met before.

The Drift Velocity Formula

This is a simple linear equation, and can be solved by matrix inversion as we did, and yields the formula you often see in the text book

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \left(\frac{\mu E}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{E}} - (\omega\tau) [\hat{\mathbf{E}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}] + (\omega\tau)^2 (\hat{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \right)$$

This formula can hence be regarded as the limiting case of the delta function like \mathbf{v} distribution or of a single velocity shell.

We can also rewrite the Langevin equation in the following form

$$[1 - \omega\tau \times] \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{e\tau}{m} \mathbf{E}$$

$\mu(B=0)$

This implies

$$[1 - \omega\tau \times] \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle \cdot \langle \hat{\mathbf{v}} \rangle = |\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle| = \frac{e\tau}{m} \mathbf{E} \cdot \langle \hat{\mathbf{v}} \rangle$$

which is known as **Tonk's theorem**.

The Inverse of $[M]$

Another Expression

The drift velocity formula for a single shell

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \left(\frac{\mu E}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right) \left(\hat{\mathbf{E}} - (\omega\tau) [\hat{\mathbf{E}} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}] + (\omega\tau)^2 (\hat{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \right)$$

can be rewritten as

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \left(\frac{\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right) \left[1 + (\omega\tau) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \times + (\omega\tau)^2 \hat{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \right] \frac{e}{m} \mathbf{E}$$

This implies that the inverse of $[M]$ can be cast into the form

$$[M]^{-1} = \left(\frac{\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right) \left[1 + (\omega\tau) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \times + (\omega\tau)^2 \hat{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \right]$$

From this we have immediately

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}^T [M]^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}} = \tau$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}^T [M]^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp} = \frac{\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\perp}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to the B-field. We will see later that the

diffusion matrix is given by

$$[D] = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 [M]^{-1} \rangle$$

Twice integrating by parts the diffusion eq. in the comoving frame, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int d^3 \mathbf{x}' n(\mathbf{x}'; t) (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{e})^2 \\ &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x}' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}'} \right)^T \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 [M]^{-1} \rangle \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}'} \right) n(\mathbf{x}'; t) (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{e})^2 \\ &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x}' \frac{2}{3} \langle v^2 \mathbf{e}^T [M]^{-1} \mathbf{e} \rangle n(\mathbf{x}'; t) \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \langle v^2 \mathbf{e}^T [M]^{-1} \mathbf{e} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_{x_e}^2 = 2D_e = \frac{2}{3} \langle v^2 \mathbf{e}^T [M]^{-1} \mathbf{e} \rangle$$

and hence

$$D_L = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 \tau \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad D_T = \frac{1}{3} \left\langle v^2 \frac{\tau}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \right\rangle$$

Diffusion

Diffusion Matrix

So far we have been discussing WE (or equivalently fE), the drift due to the external fields.

We now turn our attention to the vector eq. for WG (fG), which can be cast into the form:

$$(n f_0) [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] \mathbf{W}_G = -\frac{v^2}{3} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) \right)$$

Notice that this time, since $n(x;t)$ depends on x , we cannot cancel out n . Nevertheless, there appears the same matrix $[M]$:

$$\begin{aligned} [M] &:= [\bar{\nu}_m(v) - \boldsymbol{\omega} \times] \mathbf{W}_E \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \\ -\omega_3 & \nu & \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 & -\omega_1 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and hence with the same inverse matrix:

$$[M]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \omega_1^2 & \omega_1\omega_2 - \nu\omega_3 & \omega_1\omega_3 + \nu\omega_2 \\ \omega_2\omega_1 + \nu\omega_3 & \nu^2 + \omega_2^2 & \omega_2\omega_3 - \nu\omega_1 \\ \omega_3\omega_1 - \nu\omega_2 & \omega_3\omega_2 + \nu\omega_1 & \nu^2 + \omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \div \frac{\nu(\nu^2 + \omega^2)}{\det [M]}$$

with

$$\omega^2 := \omega^2 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} := \frac{(-e)\mathbf{B}}{mc}$$

The solution is then

$$(n f_0) \mathbf{W}_G = -\frac{v^2}{3} [M]^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) \right)$$

which can be averaged over v to give

$$\begin{aligned} n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v (\mathbf{x}; t) &:= \int (4\pi) v^2 dv (n f_0) \mathbf{W}_G \\ &= -\frac{4\pi}{3} \int v^2 dv v^2 [M]^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Diffusion

Diffusion Matrix (Continued)

Crucial step is to replace f_0 on the R.H.S. by f_0^* :

$$f_0^*(v; t) := \int d^3x (n f_0)$$

so that we can take out f_0^* out of the spatial derivative and get

$$n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v = - [D] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n$$

with the diffusion matrix $[D]$ given by

$$[D] = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int v^2 dv v^2 [M]^{-1} f_0^*$$

The inverse of $[M]$ is as before:

$$[M]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \omega_1^2 & \omega_1\omega_2 - \nu\omega_3 & \omega_1\omega_3 + \nu\omega_2 \\ \omega_2\omega_1 + \nu\omega_3 & \nu^2 + \omega_2^2 & \omega_2\omega_3 - \nu\omega_1 \\ \omega_3\omega_1 - \nu\omega_2 & \omega_3\omega_2 + \nu\omega_1 & \nu^2 + \omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \div \frac{\nu(\nu^2 + \omega^2)}{\det [M]}$$

with

$$\omega^2 := \omega^2 = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2$$

and

$$\omega := \frac{(-e)B}{mc}$$

The approximation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n f_0) \approx f_0^* \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n)$$

allowed us to define the diffusion matrix $[D]$ that satisfies the usual definition

$$\underbrace{n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v}_{\text{current density (w/o common drift)}} = - [D] \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} n}_{\text{grad (density)}}$$

There is some subtlety in this approx. but we will not get into it now.

Diffusion

Diffusion Matrix (continued)

Special Case [1] (B=0)

The matrix [M] becomes "nu" and hence the [D] becomes a single number:

$$D = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int dv \frac{v^4}{\nu} f_0^*(v)$$

where the collision frequency is given by

$$\nu = \sum_k n_k v \sigma_{m,k}(v)$$

We can hence rewrite the diffusion constant as

$$D = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v)}{\sum_k n_k v \sigma_{m,k}(v)} v^2$$

The diffusion is hence isotropic (as long as the approximation is valid) and inversely proportional to gas density and X-section.

Special Case [2] (B//E)

This is the case of our interest. Assuming that E and B are in the 3-axis direction, then

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inverse of [M] becomes

$$[M]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\nu(\nu^2 + \omega^2)} \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 & -\nu\omega & 0 \\ \nu\omega & \nu^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu^2 + \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have

$$D_L = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v)}{\nu} v^2 = D_{33}$$

$$D_T = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \nu}{\nu^2 + \omega^2} v^2 = D_{11,22}$$

$$D_{12} = -D_{21} = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \omega}{\nu^2 + \omega^2} v^2$$

All the other components are zero.

Diffusion

Diffusion Matrix (continued)

Notice that the longitudinal diffusion const.

$$D_L = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v)}{\nu} v^2 = D_{33}$$

is the same as with the $B=0$ case.

On the other hand, the transverse one

$$D_T = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \nu}{\nu^2 + \omega^2} v^2 = D_{11,22}$$

is reduced by a factor

$$\frac{\nu^2}{\nu^2 + \omega^2} = \frac{1}{1 + (\omega\tau)^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau = \frac{1}{\nu}$$

in the integrand. Where the tau, being the inverse of the collision frequency, is the mean free time between collisions.

$$D_{12} = -D_{21} = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \omega}{\nu^2 + \omega^2} v^2$$

corresponds to rotation about the field axis but it is not of our interest.

Special Case [3] (v -dist=delta fn.)

If the velocity distribution can be taken as a delta function:

$$f_0^* = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \delta(v - \bar{v})$$

Putting this into the def. of the diffusion matrix:

$$[D] = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int v^2 dv v^2 [M]^{-1} f_0^*$$

with the inverse of $[M]$ given by

$$[M]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \omega_1^2 & \omega_1\omega_2 - \nu\omega_3 & \omega_1\omega_3 + \nu\omega_2 \\ \omega_2\omega_1 + \nu\omega_3 & \nu^2 + \omega_2^2 & \omega_2\omega_3 - \nu\omega_1 \\ \omega_3\omega_1 - \nu\omega_2 & \omega_3\omega_2 + \nu\omega_1 & \nu^2 + \omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \div \nu (\nu^2 + \omega^2)$$

we have

$$[D] = \frac{1}{3} \bar{v}^2 [M]^{-1}(\bar{v})$$

If $B=0$, this implies a naive expectation

$$[D] = \frac{1}{3} \bar{v}^2 \tau = \frac{1}{3} \frac{(\bar{v}\tau)^2}{\tau}$$

Random Walk

Diffusion Matrix (continued)

Usual Simplistic Arguments

Case (3) formula is usually obtained by the random walk theory with a fixed mean free time:

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{1}{\nu(\bar{v})}$$

The probability for the electron to fly over a time "t" and then get scattered by the molecule by an angle "Omega" is given by

$$P(t, \Omega) = \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} \exp \left[-\frac{t}{\bar{\tau}} \right] dt \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}$$

if the scattering is isotropic. For the sake of simplicity, let us further assume that there is no E and B along the 3rd axis, then Newton's eq. reads

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{v}$$

The solution to this is a helix

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \frac{\bar{v}}{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta (\cos(\omega t + \phi) - \cos \phi) \\ \sin \theta (\sin(\omega t + \phi) - \sin \phi) \\ \omega t \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{x}_0$$

If we have N collisions over the time t, the probability of finding the electron at x is

$$P(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_0^\infty dt_i \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t_i/\bar{\tau}} \int \frac{d\Omega_i}{4\pi} \right) \times \delta^3 \left(\mathbf{x} - \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{x}(\theta_i, \phi_i, t_i) \right)$$

with

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0$$

The average position is apparently zero because of the angular integrals which are isotropic.

$$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle = \int d^3x P(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} = 0$$

Random Walk

Diffusion Matrix (continued)

Now the mean square transverse distance is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{\perp}^2 &= \sigma_{x_1}^2 + \sigma_{x_2}^2 = \int d^3x P(\mathbf{x}) (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\omega}\right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_0^{\infty} dt_i \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t_i/\bar{\tau}} \int \frac{d\Omega_i}{4\pi} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{i=1}^N 2 \sin^2 \theta_i (1 - \cos(\omega t_i)) \\
 &= N \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\omega}\right)^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t'/\bar{\tau}} \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} 2 \sin^2 \theta (1 - \cos(\omega t')) \\
 &= \frac{t}{\bar{\tau}} \left(\frac{\bar{v}}{\omega}\right)^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t'/\bar{\tau}} \frac{4}{3} (1 - \cos(\omega t')) \\
 &= t \frac{4}{3} \frac{(\bar{v}\bar{\tau})^2}{\bar{\tau} (1 + (\omega\bar{\tau})^2)} = 2 \sigma_T^2 = 2 \cdot 2 D_T t
 \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to the expression

$$D_T = \frac{1}{3} \frac{(\bar{v}\bar{\tau})^2}{\bar{\tau} (1 + (\omega\bar{\tau})^2)}$$

Similarly the mean square longitudinal distance is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_L^2 &= \sigma_{x_3}^2 = \int d^3x P(\mathbf{x}) x_3^2 \\
 &= \bar{v}^2 \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\int_0^{\infty} dt_i \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t_i/\bar{\tau}} \int \frac{d\Omega_i}{4\pi} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2 \cos^2 \theta_i \\
 &= N \bar{v}^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t'/\bar{\tau}} \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} t'^2 \cos^2 \theta \\
 &= \frac{t}{\bar{\tau}} \bar{v}^2 \int_0^{\infty} dt' \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}} e^{-t'/\bar{\tau}} \frac{1}{3} t'^2 \\
 &= t \frac{2}{3} \frac{(\bar{v}\bar{\tau})^2}{\bar{\tau}} = 2 D_L t
 \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$D_L = \frac{1}{3} \frac{(\bar{v}\bar{\tau})^2}{\bar{\tau}}$$

We reencounter the familiar result

$$\frac{D_T}{D_L} = \frac{1}{1 + (\omega\bar{\tau})^2}$$

Diffusion

Diffusion Matrix (continued)

Case [2] (B//E) Revisited

Now go back to B//E, and rewrite the diffusion constants

$$D_T = \frac{1}{3} \int dv \frac{(4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \tau(v)}{1 + (\omega \tau(v))^2} v^2$$

It is interesting to consider the following two extreme cases:

(a) $\omega \tau \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned} D_T(B) &\approx \frac{1}{3} \int dv (4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \tau(v) \\ &\quad \times \left[1 - (\omega \tau(v))^2 \right] v^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\langle \tau v^2 \rangle - \omega^2 \langle \tau^3 v^2 \rangle \right] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle}{1 + \omega^2 \langle \tau^3 v^2 \rangle / \langle \tau v^2 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{D_T(0)}{1 + \omega^2 \langle \tau^3 v^2 \rangle / \langle \tau v^2 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\frac{D_T(0)}{D_T(B)} \approx 1 + (\omega \tau_1)^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_1^2 = \frac{\langle \tau^3 v^2 \rangle}{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle}$$

valid for a low B-field.

(b) $\omega \tau \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} D_T(B) &\approx \frac{1}{3} \int dv (4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) \tau(v) \\ &\quad \times \left[(\omega \tau(v))^{-2} - (\omega \tau(v))^{-4} \right] v^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\left\langle \frac{v^2}{\omega^2 \tau} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{v^2}{\omega^4 \tau^3} \right\rangle \right] \\ &\approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle}{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau^3} \rangle / \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2 + \omega^2 \langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle / \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2} \\ &= \frac{D_T(0)}{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau^3} \rangle / \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2 + \omega^2 \langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle / \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2} \end{aligned}$$


This implies

$$\frac{D_T(0)}{D_T(B)} \approx C + (\omega \tau_2)^2$$

with

$$C = \frac{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau^3} \rangle}{\langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2^2 = \frac{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle \langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle}{\langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \rangle^2}$$

valid for a high B-field.



Scalar Equation

We need to solve the scalar equation, too

What We Have Done So Far

a) We have shown that

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and, hence} \quad \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle$$

which means diffusion does not contribute to the drift velocity of the centroid, as naively expected.

b) We have defined the mobility matrix for the centroid

$$[\mu^*] := -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int dv v^3 \left(\frac{d}{dv} f_0^* \right) [M]^{-1}$$

$$[M]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^2 + \omega_1^2 & \omega_1\omega_2 - \nu\omega_3 & \omega_1\omega_3 + \nu\omega_2 \\ \omega_2\omega_1 + \nu\omega_3 & \nu^2 + \omega_2^2 & \omega_2\omega_3 - \nu\omega_1 \\ \omega_3\omega_1 - \nu\omega_2 & \omega_3\omega_2 + \nu\omega_1 & \nu^2 + \omega_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \div \nu (\nu^2 + \omega^2)$$

with which, we can write

$$\langle \mathbf{W} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle = [\mu^*] \mathbf{E}$$

c) We have also defined the diffusion matrix

$$[D] = \frac{4\pi}{3} \int v^2 dv v^2 [M]^{-1} f_0^*$$

with which the convection current due to diffusion is given by

$$n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v = -[D] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n$$

These results came solely from the vector equation, and f_0^* remains as unknown.

Remaining Questions

a) How should we relate $[D]$ to the electron cloud size? In other words, we need to know the spatial distribution, $n(\mathbf{x};t)$.

b) How can we determine f_0^* ?

In order to answer these questions, we now need to look at the scalar equation.

Scalar Equation

Derivation of Diffusion Equation

The Diffusion Equation

We hence restart from the scalar equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n \mathbf{f}_1) + \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\frac{4\pi}{3} v^2 \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot n \mathbf{f}_1 \right] \\ = n \sum_k n_k \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \bar{\sigma}_{m,k}(v; [f_0]) \end{aligned}$$

By defining

$$\sigma_E := \frac{4\pi}{3} v^2 \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot n \mathbf{f}_1$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{coll}} := \sum_k n_k \bar{\sigma}_{m,k}$$

we can rewrite it in the following form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n \mathbf{f}_1) = - \frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\sigma_E - \sigma_{\text{coll}})$$

Recalling

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{v} f_0 = \int (4\pi v^2) dv f_0 = 1$$

$$f_0^*(v; t) := \int d^3 \mathbf{x} (n f_0)$$

we v-integrate the both sides to get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \left(n \int (4\pi v^2) dv f_0 \frac{v \mathbf{f}_1}{3 f_0} \right) = 0$$

where the R.H.S. is a surface integral.

Recall also the shell averaged velocity formula

$$\langle v \rangle_{\Omega_v} = \frac{v \mathbf{f}_1}{3 f_0}$$

then the quantity in the parentheses is the current density at $(\mathbf{x}; t)$

$$n \int (4\pi v^2) dv f_0 \frac{v \mathbf{f}_1}{3 f_0} = n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v$$

The above equation now becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v) = 0$$

which is none other than the usual equation of continuity.

Scalar Equation

Derivation of Diffusion Equation

In to this continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v) = 0$$

we can now put

$$n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v = n \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle_v + n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v$$

recalling

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle_v = [\mu] \mathbf{E} \approx [\mu^*] \mathbf{E} = \langle v \rangle$$

and

$$n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v = -[D] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n$$

We then obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \langle v \rangle \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^T [D] \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) n = 0$$

which is none other than the diffusion eq.
as you transform this into a more familiar
form if [D] is a constant D times a unit
matrix

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n + \langle v \rangle \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n - D \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 n = 0$$

In the co-moving frame of the centroid
($x' = x - \langle v \rangle t$), this becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} n - D \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \right)^2 n = 0$$

The solution to this equation with the point
source initial condition is given by

$$n = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(2Dt)}} \right)^3 \exp \left[-\frac{x'^2}{2(2Dt)} \right]$$

This implies that the electron cloud will
have a Gaussian spread given by

$$\sigma_x^2 = 2Dt$$

after created as a point-like cluster.

OK, now the remaining task is f0*!

Scalar Equation

Equation for f_0^*

Velocity Distribution Function

We again start from the scalar equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n \mathbf{f}_1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\sigma_E - \sigma_{\text{coll}})$$

This time we integrate out \mathbf{x} , since we are now interested in the velocity distribution

$$f_0^*(v; t) := \int d^3\mathbf{x} (n f_0)$$

Upon this integration the 2nd term of the L.H.S. vanishes since it becomes a surface integral where the electron is absent.

Noting that the R.H.S. is a function of f_0 and this spatial integration replaces f_0 by f_0^* , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_0^* = -\frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\sigma_E^* - \sigma_{\text{coll}}^*)$$

Ignoring the time derivative assuming that the electron's velocity distribution reaches a steady state in a short time, this reads

$$0 = -\frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\sigma_E^* - \sigma_{\text{coll}}^*)$$

The equation expresses the balance between the external force and the collision force. The concrete form of the collision term depends on the nature of the molecules in the gas in question and hence the concrete form of the equation also depends on it. When only elastic collision is there, it is known (c.f. Huxley & Crompton) that the equation becomes

$$(u^2 + \langle V^2 \rangle) \frac{d}{dv} f_0^* + \frac{3mv}{M} f_0^* = 0$$

with

$$u := \frac{eE}{m\nu} = \frac{eE}{m} \tau$$

Scalar Equation

Solution for f_0^* (elastic only case)

Solution for f_0^*

The equation for f_0^* for a monatomic gas and for elastic collisions only

$$(u^2 + \langle \mathbf{V}^2 \rangle) \frac{d}{dv} f_0^* + \frac{3m}{M} v f_0^* = 0$$

with

$$u := \frac{eE}{m\nu} = \frac{eE}{m} \tau$$

has the solution

$$f_0^*(v) = A \exp \left[-\frac{3m}{M} \int_0^v \frac{v' dv'}{u^2 + \langle \mathbf{V}^2 \rangle} \right]$$

Once the momentum transfer X-section is known, $\nu(v)$ is known, and hence we can calculate f_0^* . That's the recipe.

Special Case I ($E=0$)

In this case $u=0$, and since

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2} M \mathbf{V}^2 \right\rangle = \frac{3}{2} k_B T$$

we end up with

$$f_0^*(v) = A \exp \left[-\frac{m v^2 / 2}{k_B T} \right]$$

which is none other than the Maxwellian distribution as expected.

Special Case II ($\nu/v = \text{const.}$)

When the collision frequency divided by v or equivalently the cross section can be regarded as constant within the range where f_0^* is significant, we have instead

$$f_0^*(v) = A \exp \left[-\left(\frac{v}{\alpha} \right)^4 \right]$$

with

$$\alpha^4 = \frac{4M}{3m} \left(\frac{eE/n_M}{m \sigma_m} \right)^2$$

This is called a Druyvesteyn distribution and has a sharper peak than the Maxwellian.

Cross Section Shape

How σ_m behaves?

Order of Magnitude Estimate

The most popular chamber gas is Ar, so let's try an order of magnitude estimation of the electron-Ar cross section.

Ar has an atomic number $A=18$ with the first 3 shells filled up. It is a perfectly symmetric molecule and hence the remnant electric field dies away very quickly. The scattering cross section is therefore largely determined by the size of the outermost orbit. The electron in the outermost orbit experiences an attractive force from the nucleus largely shielded by the other electrons.

Let's assume that because of this shielding, the electron only feels the net charge of 1 unit of $(-e)$.

The orbit radius can then be approximated as exactly as with a hydrogen atom for $n=3$.

$$\begin{aligned} r(n) &\simeq \frac{\hbar}{mc\alpha_{\text{QED}}} n \\ &\simeq (0.5 \times 10^{-8} [\text{cm}]) \times 3 \\ &= 1.5 \times 10^{-8} [\text{cm}] \\ \sigma_{\text{Ar}} &\simeq \pi r(3)^2 \\ &\simeq \pi (1.5 \times 10^{-8} [\text{cm}])^2 \\ &= 7.1 \times 10^{-16} [\text{cm}^2] \end{aligned}$$


But the life is not so simple, This gives a kind of upper limit, and the real X-section can be much smaller because of the so called Ramsauer effect, a QM effect.

$$\sigma_{\text{Ar}} \propto \left| \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{Ar}}^0} + A \frac{1}{\epsilon - \epsilon_r + i \frac{\Gamma_r}{2}} \right|^2$$

The interference makes a dip below the resonance peak!

Ar Cross Section

That used in Magboltz



Characteristic Energy

Mobility and Momentum Transfer Cross Section

For simplicity, we will assume $B=0$ here. In this case the mobility constant can be cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^* &= -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int_0^\infty dv v^3 \tau(v) \left(\frac{d}{dv} f_0^*(v) \right) \\ &= -\frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int_0^\infty dv \left[\left(\frac{d}{dv} v^3 \tau(v) f_0^*(v) \right) - \frac{d}{dv} (v^3 \tau(v)) f_0^*(v) \right] \\ &= \frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int_0^\infty dv \frac{d}{dv} (v^3 \tau(v)) f_0^*(v) \\ &= \frac{4\pi e}{3m} \int_0^\infty dv v^2 \left[3\tau(v) + v \left(\frac{d}{dv} \tau(v) \right) \right] f_0^*(v) \\ &= \frac{e}{m} \langle \tau \rangle + \frac{e}{3m} \left\langle v \frac{d}{dv} \tau \right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of the fact that f_0^* vanishes at the boundaries. If τ is constant, we recover our simple-minded formula. The μ^* becomes max. with τ .

We can further rewrite the formula as

$$\mu = \frac{2e}{3m} \langle \tau \rangle + \frac{e}{3m} \left\langle \frac{d}{dv} (v\tau) \right\rangle$$

Here we used a shorthand, $\mu^* = \mu$, since there is no fear for confusion.

Recalling that $(v\tau)$ is inverse of the gas density times the effective momentum transfer X-section, the 2nd term vanishes if the X-section change is negligible over the velocity distribution given by f_0^* . This is true near the X-section minimum. On the falling edge it is +ve and on the rising edge it is -ve.

On the other hand, (roughly speaking) the 1st term attains its maximum near the X-section minimum. Net effect is that the mobility attains its maximum near the Ramsauer dip.

Characteristic Energy

eD/μ as an estimate of the average electron energy

Characteristic Energy

The diffusion constant at $B=0$ is given by

$$D = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty dv (4\pi v^2) f_0^*(v) (v^2 \tau(v)) = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 \tau \rangle$$

which can be cast into the form

$$D = \frac{2}{3m} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \tau \right\rangle$$

Recalling

$$\mu = \frac{e}{m} \langle \tau \rangle + \frac{e}{3m} \left\langle v \frac{d}{dv} \tau \right\rangle$$

and ignoring the variation of τ over the velocity range determined by f_0^* , we have

$$\epsilon_k := \frac{eD}{\mu} \approx \frac{2}{3} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \right\rangle$$

The quantity (eD/μ) is termed the characteristic energy of the electron for obvious reason.

Nernst-Townsend Formula

In the thermal limit, the characteristic energy is given by

$$\frac{De}{\mu} = k_B T = 0.025 \text{ [eV]} \quad (1 \text{ atm}, 20^\circ\text{C})$$

and called the Nernst-Townsend formula. For a cool gas such as CO_2 , this formula holds up to about 1kV/cm, while for Ar, this breaks down at an E-field value as low as 1V/cm. The electrons in a pure Ar gas can be easily heated up to 1eV or higher.

In the thermal limit, C_d (the diffusion coefficient: the rms size of a cluster after a unit length of drift) is given by

$$C_d := \sqrt{\frac{2D}{\mu E}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2k_B T}{E}}$$

Cd and Vd for CO2

Trying to understand Magboltz results (B//E)


It is interesting to test the expectation with the simulation by Magboltz.

We can see that the diffusion coefficient C_d behaves as $1/\sqrt{E}$ up to 1kV/cm with almost no dependence on the B-field.

The almost no dependence of C_d on B can be understood as the consequence of the smallness of ωT .

It is also worth noting that the mobility is approximately constant and hence the drift velocity is proportional to the E-field in the same region: $E < 1\text{kV/cm}$.

The fact that the electron velocity stays thermal up to rather high E-fields is attributable to many excitation modes of CO2 to cool the electrons.



Cd and Vd for P5

Trying to understand Magboltz results (B//E)

In the case of P5, a more suitable gas for a TPC, the behavior is very different.

First notice the strong dependence of the diffusion constant on the B-field, which suggests

$$\omega\tau \gg 1$$


and hence

$$\frac{D_T(0)}{D_T(B)} \approx C + (\omega\tau_2)^2$$

Assuming that tau is nearly constant in the relevant velocity range, we have

$$\tau_2^2 = \frac{\langle \tau v^2 \rangle \left\langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \frac{v^2}{\tau} \right\rangle^2} \approx \bar{\tau}^2$$

The transverse diffusion is thus expected to attain its minimum at the maximum tau, or at around the Ramsauer dip. Notice also that the drift velocity attains its maximum near there as expected.



The DL being different from the DT is unexpected and needs explanation.

Diffusion Revisited

Electric Anisotropy

The fact that the diffusion in the E-field direction differs from those in the other directions was first noticed by Wagner, Davis, and Hurst (1967). This is called the electric anisotropy. Since our previous result indicated that the DL is equal to the DT at B=0, some approximation we made to some E-dependent terms must have been inadequate to explain this phenomenon. We then need to go back to the scalar equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n f_0) + \frac{v}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot (n \mathbf{f}_1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\sigma_E - \sigma_{\text{coll}})$$

with

$$\sigma_E := \frac{4\pi}{3} v^2 \frac{e\mathbf{E}}{m} \cdot n \mathbf{f}_1$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{coll}} := \sum_k n_k \bar{\sigma}_{m,k}$$

By multiplying both sides by the kinetic energy of the electron and integrating

over the electron speed, we can translate the scalar equation into the following form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n \langle \epsilon \rangle_v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n \langle \epsilon \mathbf{W} \rangle_v) = n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v \cdot e\mathbf{E} - \int dv m v \sigma_{\text{coll}}$$

where

$$\epsilon := \frac{1}{2} m v^2$$

The equation expresses the conservation of energy. Recall that if collisions are all elastic and the speed of molecules can be neglected, we have

$$\sigma_{\text{coll}} \approx \sum_k 4\pi v^2 \frac{m}{M_k} v (n f_0) \nu_{m,k}$$

where

$$\nu_{m,k}(v) := n_k v \sigma_{m,k}(v)$$

When a molecule is at rest, energy loss per collision is given by

$$\Delta\epsilon = \lambda_k \epsilon = 2 \frac{M_k m}{(M_k + m)^2} \epsilon \simeq 2 \frac{m}{M_k} \epsilon$$

where lambda is called the fractional energy loss.

Diffusion Revisited

Electric Anisotropy

The collision term then becomes

$$\int dv mv \sigma_{\text{coll}} \approx n \int dv (4\pi v^2) f_0(\overline{\lambda\nu}) \epsilon = n \langle \epsilon(\overline{\lambda\nu}) \rangle_v$$

with

$$\overline{\lambda\nu} := \sum_k \lambda_k \nu_{m,k}$$

Putting these together, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n \langle \epsilon \rangle_v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n \langle \epsilon \mathbf{W} \rangle_v) = n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v \cdot e\mathbf{E} - n \langle \epsilon(\overline{\lambda\nu}) \rangle_v$$

Since we are dealing with a single electron in a quasi steady state, the L.H.S. may be ignored in considering a change in the scale of one mean free time. The eq. then reads

$$n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v \cdot e\mathbf{E} = n \langle \epsilon(\overline{\lambda\nu}) \rangle_v$$

indicating local balance between the energy gain from the E-field and the loss due to collisions. Since the current density

$$n \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle_v = n \langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle_v + n \langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v$$

includes the contribution from diffusion, so does the L.H.S. of the above equation.

Setting our coordinate axes in such a way that the E-field points to the 3rd axis direction and recalling that

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_E \rangle_v \cdot e\mathbf{E} = e\mu E^2$$

$$\langle \mathbf{W}_G \rangle_v \cdot e\mathbf{E} = -eE D \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_3} \right)$$

we can rewrite the energy balance eq. as

$$e\mu E^2 - eE D \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_3} \right) \approx \langle \epsilon \rangle_v \overline{\lambda\nu} (\langle \epsilon \rangle_v)$$

Denoting $\bar{\epsilon} = \langle \epsilon \rangle_v$ and expanding this eq. around the energy corresponding to the average energy at the peak of the electron spatial probability distribution where the derivative is zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e \frac{e}{m \nu_0 + \left(\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 \Delta \bar{\epsilon}} E^2 - eE \frac{2\bar{\epsilon}_0}{3m \nu_0} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_3} \right) \\ \approx (\bar{\epsilon}_0 + \Delta \bar{\epsilon}) \left[\overline{\lambda\nu}_0 + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\lambda\nu}}{\partial \bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 \Delta \bar{\epsilon} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of

Diffusion Revisited

Electric Anisotropy

$$\mu = \frac{e}{m} \langle \tau \rangle \approx \frac{e}{m\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 \tau \rangle \approx \frac{2\bar{\epsilon}_0}{3m\nu_0}$$

The energy balance eq. can be solved for the energy shift as

$$\Delta\bar{\epsilon} = - \frac{2\bar{\epsilon}_0^2}{3eE \left[1 + \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0}{\nu_0} \right) \left(\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 + \left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0}{(\lambda\nu)_0} \right) \left(\frac{\partial(\lambda\nu)}{\partial\bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 \right]} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_3} \right)$$

At the leading edge, where the density has a -ve slope, the energy shift is +ve, and at the trailing edge, the energy shift is -ve.

The energy is hence higher at the leading edge and lower at the trailing edge than at the center. This energy shift induces the variation of mobility along the E-field:

$$\mu = \frac{e}{m\nu} \approx \frac{e}{m\nu_0} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\nu_0} \left(\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 \Delta\bar{\epsilon} \right]$$

Denoting

$$\gamma = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0}{\nu_0} \left(\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma' = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0}{(\lambda\nu)_0} \left(\frac{\partial(\lambda\nu)}{\partial\bar{\epsilon}} \right)_0$$

we have

$$\mu \approx \frac{e}{m\nu_0} \left[1 + \frac{2\gamma\bar{\epsilon}_0}{3eE(1+\gamma+\gamma')} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_3} \right) \right]$$

Depending on the sign of "gamma", the variation induces a bunching (+ve) or debunching (-ve) effect and hence makes the longitudinal diffusion different from the transverse one.

Putting these into

$$n \langle W \rangle_v = n \mu E - D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} n$$

and taking the 3rd component, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \langle W_3 \rangle_v &= n \mu E - D \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} n \\ &= n \frac{e}{m\nu_0} E - D \left[1 - \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma+\gamma'} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} n \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$D_L = D \left[1 - \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma+\gamma'} \right]$$

The formula shows that $D_L = D_T (B=0)$ where "gamma"=0, the collision freq. attains its minimum (=tau maximum) meaning near the Ramsauer dip as we have seen for P5.

Gas Amplification in a Strong E-Field

This part will be very brief, since my understanding of this subject is very much limited!

Gas Amplification

Average Gas Gain

Townsend Coefficient

The probability per unit length for a seed electron in a strong E-field producing an additional ionization electron is called the first Townsend coefficient (α). We can write the average increase of electrons (dN) over a path (ds) to be

$$dN = N \alpha ds$$

The Townsend coefficient is determined by the cross sections for ionizing collisions or excitation collisions leading to secondary ionizations through Penning effect or Jesse effect. These cross sections are a function of the electron's speed or equivalently its energy, which is in turn a function of two scaling variables: "E/(gas density)" and "B/(gas density)", as far as the t- and x-

derivatives of the electron state density function on the L.H.S. of Boltzmann eq. can be ignored.

Then the Townsend coefficient, having the dimension of inverse length, must scale with the mean free path inverse and hence should be proportional to the gas density:

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \left(\frac{E}{\rho}, \frac{B}{\rho} \right) \cdot \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$$

unless E-field variation is so quick that the $f(v;x)$ changes significantly over a few mean free paths.

Taking this condition for granted we can write the average gas gain as a line integral:

$$\bar{G} := \frac{N}{N_0} = \exp \left[\int_A^B ds \alpha(E(s)) \right]$$

which in general depends on the possible path along which the avalanche develops.

The formula allows one to calculate the average gas gain once the 1st Townsend coefficient is given as a function of the E-field. Strictly speaking, the scaling holds only when we change both the E- and B-fields simultaneously. As far as I know there is no analytic treatment of general E and B configurations. When the E- and B-fields are parallel, however, the longitudinal motion will not be affected by the B-field and hence we can ignore the B-field effect on the Townsend coefficient (recall that the electron energy is characterized by eD/μ which is unaffected).


In the case of uniform E/B , we have

$$\bar{G}(\Delta) = \exp [\alpha(V/\Delta) \Delta]$$

where Δ is the amplification gap and V is the high voltage across it.

This should be a good approximation for a GEM or micromegas in particular. Notice that the Townsend coefficient increases

with the E-field. If the E-field is constant, the gas gain increases with the gap. The E-field, however, decreases when the gap is increased. This suggests that the gas gain must attain a maximum for an appropriate gap value, around which the gas gain is stable against gap variation. This is the operation principle of the micromegas.



Gas Amplification

Statistics of Avalanche Fluctuation


Alkhozov's Theory (1970)

The avalanche formation involves various mechanisms: impact ionization, Penning and Jesse processes. We consider here the case where the impact ionization dominates. We further assume a uniform E-field in the amplification region. A B-field, if there is any, should be parallel to the E-field. Now let the probability of getting N electrons at the point x from the beginning of the amplification region be $P(N; x)$, then $P(N; x)$ must satisfy the following self-consistency equation:

$$P(N; x) = \int_0^x dl p_i(l) \sum_{N'=1}^{N-1} P(N'; x-l) P(N-N'; x-l)$$

where $p_i(l)$ is the probability of 1st ionizing collision taking place at the distance l from the origin of the seed electron.

Graphically we can represent this as in the following figure:



We can define the avalanche fluctuation function as

$$p(z, x) := \bar{N}(x) P(\bar{N}(x)z; x)$$

and its n-th moment as

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &:= \int_0^\infty dz z^n p(z, x) \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\bar{N}(x)} \left(\frac{N}{\bar{N}(x)} \right)^n \bar{N}(x) P(N; x) \end{aligned}$$

Because of the central limit theorem, we expect that the avalanche fluctuation fn. and hence its moments also are determined by the early stage of the avalanche growth, which implies that $p(z, x)$ should become x -independent

$$p(z, x) \rightarrow p(z)$$

at large x where

$$\bar{N}(x) \rightarrow e^{\alpha x}$$

Keeping these in mind, we can derive from

$$P(N; x) = \int_0^x dl p_i(l) \sum_{N'=1}^{N-1} P(N'; x-l) P(N-N'; x-l)$$

an equation for M_n :

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} M_k M_{n-k} \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) e^{-n\alpha l}$$

This leads us to a recurrence formula:

$$M_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \frac{M_k M_{n-k} J(n)}{1 - 2J(n)}$$

with

$$J(n) := \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) e^{-n\alpha l}$$

determined by the probability for the 1st ionizing collision.

On the other hand, we have

$$M_0 = M_1 = 1$$

by definition. $M_1=1$ determines the 1st Townsend coefficient:

$$2J(1) = 2 \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) e^{-\alpha l} = 1$$

Once $p_i(l)$ is given, we can hence calculate M_n recursively.

The self-consistency equation also induces an equation for $p(z)$:

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha z} \int_z^\infty dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' p(z'') p(z' - z'') p_i\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \frac{z'}{z}\right)$$

which can be used to get an approximate solution by iterative substitutions.

The self-consistency equation for $p(z)$

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha z} \int_z^\infty dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' p(z'') p(z' - z'') p_i \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \frac{z'}{z} \right)$$

implies that the large l behavior of $p_i(l)$ controls the behavior of $p(z)$ near $z=0$.

Assuming the exponential shape for the large l limit:

$$p_i(l) \rightarrow C e^{-a l} \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty$$

where C is a constant, we have

$$p(z) \simeq z^{\frac{a}{\alpha}-1} \int_0^\infty dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' p(z'') p(z' - z'') \frac{C}{\alpha} z'^{-a/\alpha}$$

near $z=0$. Denoting

$$\theta := \frac{a}{\alpha} - 1$$

we hence obtain

$$p(z) \simeq C' z^\theta$$

where C' is a constant. In the case of Polya distribution, we have

$$\theta = \theta_{\text{pol}} := \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 1$$

Snyder's Model

If the ionization probability is constant as given by the 1st Townsend coefficient:

$$p_i(l) = \alpha e^{-\alpha l}$$

we have an exponential distribution

$$p(z) = e^{-z}$$

as the exact solution to the above equation.

This can be easily checked by substituting this in the self-consistency equation.

In this case we have

$$M_n = n!$$

We thus have

$$M_2 = 2$$

in particular.

We will see the significance of this number later when we discuss the effective number of seed electrons (Neff). Experimentally we know that M_2 is smaller than 2 for GEM and Microegas detectors.

Derivations of Recurrence Formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_n &= \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) \left(\frac{\bar{N}(x-l)}{\bar{N}(x)} \right)^n \sum_{N=1}^\infty \sum_{N'=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{N' + (N - N')}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^n P(N'; x-l) P(N - N'; x-l) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) \left(\frac{\bar{N}(x-l)}{\bar{N}(x)} \right)^n \sum_{N=1}^\infty \sum_{N'=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^k \left(\frac{N - N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^{n-k} \\
 &\quad \times P(N'; x-l) P(N - N'; x-l) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) \left(\frac{\bar{N}(x-l)}{\bar{N}(x)} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{N'=1}^\infty \sum_{N-N'=1}^\infty \left(\frac{N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^k \left(\frac{N - N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^{n-k} \\
 &\quad \times P(N'; x-l) P(N - N'; x-l) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) \left(\frac{\bar{N}(x-l)}{\bar{N}(x)} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{N'=1}^\infty \left(\frac{N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^k P(N'; x-l) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{N-N'=1}^\infty \left(\frac{N - N'}{\bar{N}(x-l)} \right)^{n-k} P(N - N'; x-l) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) e^{-n\alpha l} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} M_k M_{n-k}
 \end{aligned}$$

Derivations of Recurrence Formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(z) &= \int_0^\infty dl \, p_i(l) \int_0^{ze^{\alpha l}} dz'' \, e^{\alpha l} p(z'') p(ze^{\alpha l} - z'') \\
 &= \int_0^\infty dl \, p_i(l) e^{\alpha l} \int_z^\infty dz' \, \delta(z' - ze^{\alpha l}) \int_0^{z'} dz'' \, p(z'') p(z' - z'') \\
 &= \int_z^\infty dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \, p(z'') p(z' - z'') \int_0^\infty dl \, p_i(l) \delta(z' - ze^{\alpha l}) e^{\alpha l} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\alpha z} \int_z^\infty dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \, p(z'') p(z' - z'') p_i \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \frac{z'}{z} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Legler's Model

Legler assumed that any ionizing collision may take place only after the seed electron flying over a minimum distance:

$$x_0 := U_0/E$$

so as to gain enough energy for ionization from the E-field. Legler further assumed the probability of ionizing collision being constant after the seed electron having reached the threshold. The probability of the 1st ionizing collision is then given by

$$p_i(l) = a_i e^{-a_i(l-x_0)} \theta(l - x_0)$$

As mentioned before, $2J(1) = 1$ gives

$$a_i = \frac{\alpha}{2e^{-\alpha x_0} - 1} \quad (0 \leq \alpha x_0 \leq \ln 2)$$

Notice that in the low E-field limit, where

$$\alpha x_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } E/\rho \rightarrow 0$$

and hence

$$a_i \rightarrow \alpha \text{ as } E/\rho \rightarrow 0$$

converging to Snyder's model.

It is hence important to have a high E-field in the early stage of the avalanche growth in order to suppress gain fluctuation.

From

$$M_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \frac{M_k M_{n-k} J(n)}{1 - 2J(n)}$$

with

$$J(n) := \int_0^\infty dl p_i(l) e^{-n\alpha l}$$

$$M_0 = M_1 = 1$$

we have

$$M_2 = \frac{2J(2)}{1 - 2J(2)}$$

which leads us to

$$\sigma^2 = M_2 - 1 = \frac{(2 - e^{\alpha x_0})^2}{2 - (2 - e^{\alpha x_0})^2}$$

Denoting

$$\kappa := (2 - e^{\alpha x_0})^2$$

we then obtain

$$\sigma^2 = M_2 - 1 = \frac{\kappa}{2 - \kappa} \quad (0 \leq \kappa \leq 1)$$

$$\theta = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{\kappa})}{\sqrt{\kappa}} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{1 + \sqrt{\kappa}} \theta_{\text{pol}} \leq \theta_{\text{pol}}$$

The theta parameter controls the behavior near $z=0$. The inequality

$$\theta = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{\kappa})}{\sqrt{\kappa}} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{1 + \sqrt{\kappa}} \theta_{\text{pol}} \leq \theta_{\text{pol}}$$

states that the turn over near $z=0$ is less prominent than that expected from the variance assuming a Polya distribution

$$\theta_{\text{pol}} := \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 1$$

or for the same theta, the variance is smaller than that expected for the Polya. Legrer's model thus suggests a probability distribution for the gas gain fluctuation, $p(z)$, being non-Polya. Nevertheless, we can calculate the variance by

$$\sigma^2 = M_2 - 1 = \frac{(2 - e^{\alpha x_0})^2}{2 - (2 - e^{\alpha x_0})^2}$$

with

$$x_0 := U_0/E$$

If we set


$$U_0 = U_I : \text{ionization pot.}$$

and define

$$\chi := \frac{\alpha U_I}{E}$$

we have

$$\sigma^2 = M_2 - 1 = \frac{(2 - e^\chi)^2}{2 - (2 - e^\chi)^2}$$




The variance depends on the E-field. The data suggest

$$\sigma^2 = M_2 - 1 \gtrsim 0.2$$

for Ar.

Sample Calculations

Monte Carlo generated gain fluctuation distributions with Legler's model are shown below for $\chi = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3 :




As predicted, Legler's model gives less prominent turnover near $z=0$ and a shorter tail in the high z region than the Polya distribution with the same sigma value.

Alkhazov's theory predicts

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{(2 - e^\chi)^2}{2 - (2 - e^\chi)^2}$$

with

$$\chi := \frac{\alpha U_I}{E}$$



As indicated in the figure, the predictions by Alkhazov's theory agree very well with the Monte Carlo results.

Excursus on Legler's Model

Assuming that the electron accelerates uniformly from at rest until the 1st ionization collision, we have the probability of encountering the 1st ionization collision at distance, $l=l$, given by

$$p_i(l)dl = P\left(0, \int_0^l dl' n\sigma((V/\Delta)l')\right) \cdot P\left(1, \int_l^{l+dl} dl' n\sigma((V/\Delta)l')\right)$$

where $P(m, \mu)$ is the Poisson probability

$$P(m, \mu) = \frac{\mu^m}{m!} e^{-\mu}$$

Substituting the following assumption by Legler for the cross section:

$$\sigma(\epsilon) = \sigma_0 \theta(\epsilon - U_0)$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^l dl' n\sigma((V/\Delta)l') = n\sigma_0(l - x_0)\theta(l - x_0)$$

$$\int_l^{l+dl} dl' n\sigma((V/\Delta)l') = n\sigma_0\theta(l - x_0) dl$$

with $x_0 := (U_0/V)\Delta$

and, hence,

$$p_i(l) = e^{-n\sigma_0(l-x_0)} n\sigma_0\theta(l - x_0)$$

which implies

$$a_i = n\sigma_0$$

σ_0 is a kind of effective cross section and in general depends on the distribution of the electron energy or equivalently (E/n) .

Townsend coefficient is given by

$$a_i = \frac{\alpha}{2e^{-\alpha x_0} - 1} \quad (0 \leq \alpha x_0 \leq \ln 2)$$

with $x_0 := (U_0/V)\Delta$

Introducing scaling variables η and χ :

$$\eta := a_i x_0 = n\sigma_0 x_0$$

$$\chi := \alpha x_0$$

and rewriting this, we get

$$\eta = \frac{\chi}{2e^{-\chi} - 1}$$

On the other hand the full gain is given by

$$\ln G = \alpha(\Delta - x_0) = \chi(\delta - 1)$$

with $\delta := \Delta/x_0 = V/U_0$

Differentiating both sides by some variable X , we get, in general,

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left[\left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \eta} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial X} \right) (\delta - 1) + \chi \left(\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial X} \right) \right] X \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right)$$

Differentiating the logarithms of the both sides of the defining eq. of eta on the previous page, we have, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 1/\eta &= \left[\frac{1}{\chi} + \frac{\eta}{\chi} 2e^{-\chi} \right] \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{\chi} + \frac{\eta}{\chi} \left(\frac{\chi}{\eta} + 1 \right) \right] \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi} (1 + \chi + \eta) \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \eta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to

$$\left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \eta} \right) = \frac{\chi}{\eta (1 + \chi + \eta)}$$

Putting them together, we arrive at

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left[\left(\frac{\chi}{1 + \chi + \eta} \right) \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial X} \right) (\delta - 1) + \chi \left(\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial X} \right) \right] X \left(\frac{dX}{X} \right)$$

with

$$\eta = \sigma_0 \left[\frac{V/\Delta}{n} \right] U_0 \left(\frac{V/\Delta}{n} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\delta = V/U_0$$

$$\chi = \frac{\ln G}{\delta - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \frac{\chi}{2e^{-\chi} - 1}$$

Case [1] X=Delta

For instance, we have, for $X=\Delta$,

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \Delta} \right) = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \Delta} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \Delta} = 0$$

where we have introduced a scaling variable:

$$\varepsilon := \frac{E}{n} = \frac{V/\Delta}{n}, \quad \text{leading to} \quad \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \Delta} = -\varepsilon \frac{1}{\Delta}$$

Putting them together, we arrive at

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left(\frac{\chi}{1 + \chi + \eta} \right) \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \right] (\delta - 1) \left(\frac{d\Delta}{\Delta} \right)$$

As shown at the beginning of this chapter, we can make the coefficient vanish by tuning Delta and V depending on the gas parameters such as n , σ_0 , and U_0 .

Stability condition reads

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\varepsilon}$$

Case [2] $X=n$

Similarly, we have, for $X=n$,

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial n} \right) = \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial n} = 0$$

and, hence,

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left(\frac{\chi}{1 + \chi + \eta} \right) \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \right] (\delta - 1) \left(\frac{dn}{n} \right)$$

Notice that the coefficient is the same as in the case of $X=\Delta$, implying that the gain is stabilized against the gas density change if it has been stabilized against the change in the gap, Δ . This is probably another advantage of micromegas.

Case [3] $X=V$

In this case, we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial V} \right) = \left[1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \right] \left(\frac{1}{V} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial V} = \delta \frac{1}{V}$$

and, hence,

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left[\left(\frac{\chi}{1 + \chi + \eta} \right) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_0}{\partial \varepsilon} \right) \right\} (\delta - 1) + \chi \delta \right] \left(\frac{dV}{V} \right)$$

If the coefficient has been tuned to make the Δ - and n -dependences vanish to the 1st order as in the case of micromegas, we have

$$\frac{dG}{G} = \left[\left(\frac{2\chi}{1 + \chi + \eta} \right) (\delta - 1) + \chi \delta \right] \left(\frac{dV}{V} \right)$$

Note:

$$\eta = \sigma_0 \left[\frac{V/\Delta}{n} \right] U_0 \left(\frac{V/\Delta}{n} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\text{with } \varepsilon := \frac{E}{n} = \frac{V/\Delta}{n}$$


$$\chi = \frac{\ln G}{\delta - 1}$$

$$\eta = \frac{\chi}{2e^{-\chi} - 1} \quad \delta = V/U_0$$

From the χ data given as a function of E/n , we can estimate $\eta(E/n)$, which in turn gives $\sigma_0[E/n]$. We can then calculate numerically the derivative of σ_0 with respect to E/n .

Extension to a nonuniform E field

Consider first the avalanche development in a uniform E field. Dividing the amplification region (0,x) into two parts (0,l), (l,x).



The self-consistency equation for this division reads

$$P(N; x) = \sum_{N_1} \sum_{N_{2,1}, \dots, N_{2,N_1}} \delta \left(N - \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} N_{2,j} \right) \times P(N_1; l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N_1} P(N_{2,j}; x - l) \right)$$

Here we have assumed that N_1 2nd stage avalanches develop independently. The average of N is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_{12} &= \sum_{N_{12}} P(N_{12}; x) N_{12} \\ &= \sum_{N_1} \sum_{N_{2,1}, \dots, N_{2,N_1}} N_1 N_{2,j} P(N_1; l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N_1} P(N_{2,j}; x - l) \right) \\ &= \bar{N}_1 \bar{N}_2 \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to a functional equation

$$\bar{N}(x) = \bar{N}(l) \bar{N}(x - l)$$

Noting that $\bar{N}(0)=1$, we have from this

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{N}}{dx}(x) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \frac{\bar{N}(x) - \bar{N}(x - l)}{l} \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \bar{N}(x - l) \frac{\bar{N}(l) - \bar{N}(0)}{l} \\ &= \bar{N}(x) \left. \frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} \right|_{x=0} \end{aligned}$$

We find again the familiar equation

$$\frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} = \alpha \bar{N} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha := \left. \frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} \right|_{x=0}$$

where α is the 1st Townsend coefficient.

This eq. allows us to extend our uniform E-field result to a nonuniform case

$$\bar{G}(x) := \bar{N}(x) = \exp \left[\int_0^x dl \alpha(l) \right]$$

This is none other than the average gas gain formula we have derived before.

Let us now consider the variance of the avalanche fluctuations:

$$\overline{(N_{12})^2} - (\bar{N}_{12})^2 := \sum_{N_{12}} P(N_{12}; x) (N_{12})^2 - (\bar{N}_{12})^2$$

Recalling the self-consistency equation

$$P(N; x) = \sum_{N_1} \sum_{N_{2,1}, \dots, N_{2,N_1}} \delta \left(N - \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} N_{2,j} \right) \\ \times P(N_1; l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N_1} P(N_{2,j}; x - l) \right)$$

we have

$$\overline{(N_{12})^2} = \sum_{N_1} \sum_{N_{2,1}, \dots, N_{2,N_1}} P(N_1; l) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N_1} P(N_{2,j}; x - l) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} N_{2,j} \right)^2$$

which leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(N_{12})^2} &= \sum_{N_1} P(N_1; l) \left[N_1 \overline{(N_2)^2} + ((N_1)^2 - N_1) (\bar{N}_2)^2 \right] \\ &= \bar{N}_1 \left(\overline{(N_2)^2} - (\bar{N}_2)^2 \right) + \overline{(N_1)^2} (\bar{N}_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\overline{N^2} - \bar{N}^2 := \bar{N}^2 f(\bar{N})$$

we arrive at

$$f(\bar{N}_1 \bar{N}_2) = f(\bar{N}_1) + (\bar{N}_1)^{-1} f(\bar{N}_2)$$

or

$$f(\bar{N}(x)) = f(\bar{N}(l)) + (\bar{N}(l))^{-1} f(\bar{N}(x - l))$$

If the gain of the 1st stage is large, the fluctuation in the 2nd stage is negligible, being consistent with naive expectation. Differentiating both sides with respect to x and then taking $l \rightarrow x$ limit, we get

$$\frac{df}{d\bar{N}} \frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} = \frac{1}{\bar{N}} \left(\frac{df}{d\bar{N}} \right)_{x=0} \left(\frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} \right)_{x=0}$$

Recalling that

$$\frac{d\bar{N}}{dx} = \alpha \bar{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}(0) = 1$$

we obtain

$$\frac{df}{d\bar{N}} = \frac{1}{\bar{N}^2} \left(\frac{df}{d\bar{N}} \right)_{x=0} =: \frac{C}{\bar{N}^2}$$

General solution to this equation is

$$f(\bar{N}) = C' - \frac{C}{\bar{N}}$$

We need to impose the boundary condition

$$f(\bar{N}(0)) = f(1) = 0$$

since

$$P(N; 0) = \delta(N - 1)$$

which requires

$$C' = C$$

Denoting

$$f_0 := f(\infty) = C$$

we can rewrite the equation for f in the following form:

$$\frac{df}{d\bar{N}} = \frac{f_0}{\bar{N}^2}$$

This equation allows us to extend our uniform field results to a nonuniform field.

Recalling

$$\bar{G}(x) := \bar{N}(x) = \exp \left[\int_0^x dl \alpha(l) \right]$$

we arrive at

$$f(\bar{G}(x)) = \int_0^x dx' \alpha(x') \frac{f_0(x')}{[\bar{G}(x')]^2}$$

From this and

$$f \equiv \sigma^2 =: \frac{1}{\theta_{\text{pol}} + 1}$$

we can calculate the Polya parameter if the Townsend coefficient and f_0 are known.

Notice that the avalanche fluctuation is in general non-Polya. Nevertheless we may use the Polya parameter as an index.

In the case of Legler's model, we have

$$f_0(x) = \frac{(2 - e^{\chi(x)})^2}{2 - (2 - e^{\chi(x)})^2}$$

with

$$\chi(x) := \alpha(x) x_0(x) = \frac{\alpha(x) U_0}{E(x)}$$

Central Limit Theorem

Sketch of Its Proof

Characteristic Function

The characteristic function of a probability distribution function $P(x)$ is defined by

$$\phi(s) := \int dx e^{isx} P(x)$$

which is essentially the Fourier transform of the p.d.f. and hence uniquely specifies it. The characteristic function comes in handy for calculations of moments:

$$M_n := \int dx x^n P(x)$$

By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= 1 \\ M_1 &= \bar{x} \\ M_2 &= \sigma^2 + \bar{x}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Once a characteristic function is given, we can calculate these moments as

$$M_n = (-i)^n \left. \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \phi(s) \right|_{s=0}$$

Examples

For instance, the characteristic function of a Gaussian distribution is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_G(s) &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx e^{isx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 + i\bar{x}s} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to make sure that the first three moments obtained from this characteristic function indeed coincide the above.

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \bar{x} \\ M_2 &= \sigma^2 + \bar{x}^2 \end{aligned}$$

For an exponential distribution, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_E(s) &= \int_0^{+\infty} dx e^{isx} \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-x/\lambda} \\ &= (1 - is\lambda)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}M_1 &= \bar{x} = \lambda \\ M_2 &= \sigma^2 + \bar{x}^2 = 2\lambda^2\end{aligned}$$

For a Polya distribution

$$P_P(x) = \frac{(\theta + 1)^{\theta+1}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} x^\theta e^{-(\theta+1)x}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P(s) &= \int_0^\infty dx e^{isx} \frac{(\theta + 1)^{\theta+1}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} x^\theta e^{-(\theta+1)x} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 1 - is} \right)^{\theta+1}\end{aligned}$$

The 1st and the 2nd moments obtained from the characteristic function are

$$\begin{aligned}M_1 &= \bar{x} = 1 \\ M_2 &= \sigma^2 + \bar{x}^2 = \frac{2 + \theta}{1 + \theta}\end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= 1 \\ \sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{1 + \theta}\end{aligned}$$

If $\theta=0$, the Polya distribution becomes an exponential one with $\lambda=1$ as is clearly seen either from the definition or from its characteristic function.

The Polya distribution becomes a delta-function in the limit of θ going to infinity as is easily seen from its characteristic function:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_P(s) &= \left(\frac{\theta + 1}{\theta + 1 - is} \right)^{\theta+1} \\ &\rightarrow e^{is} \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic form coincides with the characteristic function for a Gaussian with a mean value of unity and with a zero width.

Composition Rules

A p.d.f. for a random variable x induces a p.d.f. for a variable (ax) . The characteristic function for (ax) is then given by

$$\phi_{ax}(s) = \int d(ax) e^{is(ax)} \frac{1}{a} P(x) = \phi_x(as)$$

The characteristic function for $(x+a)$ is

$$\phi_{x+a} = \int d(x+a) e^{is(x+a)} P(x) = e^{ias} \phi_x(s)$$

A p.d.f. for a variable x_1 and another p.d.f. for a variable x_2 induce a p.d.f. for their sum (x_1+x_2) . The characteristic function for this reads

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{1+2}(s) &= \int dx e^{isx} \int dx_1 \int dx_2 P_1(x_1) P_2(x_2) \\ &\quad \times \delta(x - (x_1 + x_2)) \\ &= \phi_1(s) \cdot \phi_2(s) \end{aligned}$$

For N variables with the same p.d.f., we get

$$\phi_N(s) = [\phi(s)]^N$$

Proof of Central Limit Theorem

For a given a set of N variables x_1, \dots, x_N , obeying the same p.d.f.: $P(x)$, we consider the distribution of

$$z := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})$$

The characteristic function for this is

$$\phi_z(s) = [\phi_{x-\bar{x}}(s/\sqrt{N}\sigma)]^N$$

Recall now that we can expand phi in terms of moments as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{x-\bar{x}}(s/\sqrt{N}\sigma) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(is/\sqrt{N}\sigma)^k}{k!} M_k \\ &= 1 - \frac{s^2}{2N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^{3/2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

In the large N limit, we hence have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_z(s) &= [\phi_{x-\bar{x}}(s/\sqrt{N}\sigma)]^N \\ &\rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - \frac{s^2}{2N}\right]^N = e^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2} \end{aligned}$$

implying that the p.d.f. for z is a Gaussian centered at zero with a variance of 1.

Creation of Signals

This part will also be very brief, though practically and technically very important.

Signals on Electrodes

In the Case of Conductive Electrodes

Statement of the Problem

As we have seen, primary and secondary track electrons drift towards a gas amplification region experiencing diffusion (and sometimes absorption and recombination, too). They act as seeds to individual avalanches. Depending on the gas amplification device in use, the avalanche locations, shapes, and sizes will be different. Nevertheless, as long as the space charge effect is negligible the electrons and the ions in each avalanche drift along the paths determined by the E- and B-field experiencing further diffusion until eventually collected by electrodes that terminate the paths. If the electrodes are made of conductive materials, the E-field adjusts itself instantaneously to the movement of the electrons and the ions.

We can hence treat the problem of solving for the charge induced on each electrode electro-statically, assuming that at every instance the avalanche charges are fixed at definite points in space. The signal time development is then entirely determined by the locations of avalanche charges as a function of time. Since the net charge on the electrode in question is the sum of contributions from individual charges, it suffices to consider a single charge " q_i " fixed at some point " x_i " in the anode-cathode gap. What we need is the signal charge " Q_a " on a-th electrode as a function of " x_i ":

$$Q_a(x_i) = q_i F_a(x_i)$$

from which we can calculate the net signal:

$$Q_a(t) = \sum_i q_i F_a(x_i(t))$$

To solve the problem of finding out the response function $F_a(x)$, a theorem known as the reciprocal theorem comes in handy. Let us hence discuss it here.

We consider here a set of localized charge distributions in a dielectric medium, a gas in our case, each of which, say i -th charge distribution ρ_i , must satisfy Maxwell's equation

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla \phi_i) = -4\pi \rho_i,$$

with

$$\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E}$$

where ϕ_i is the corresponding electrostatic potential, and the condition

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \text{const.} = V_{i,a} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in D_a$$

must be satisfied, if there are n conductors (D_a ; $a = 1, \dots, n$). Such ϕ_i and ρ_i are then physically realizable and comprise possible solutions of the Maxwell equation for electrostatic fields.

We are interested in the relation between different solutions, say i -th and j -th. This connection is known as the reciprocal theorem which we now prove below.

Reciprocal Theorem

If (ϕ_i, ρ_i) and (ϕ_j, ρ_j) are solutions of the Maxwell equation for electrostatic fields, they are related by

$$\int d^3x \rho_i \phi_j = \int d^3x \rho_j \phi_i$$

Proof:

By integrating by parts, the L.H.S. can be transformed into the R.H.S. as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. &= \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot (-\epsilon \nabla \phi_i) \right) \phi_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \phi_j \nabla \phi_i) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon \nabla \phi_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \nabla \cdot (\phi_i \epsilon \nabla \phi_j) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla \phi_j) \phi_i \\ &= \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla \cdot (-\epsilon \nabla \phi_j) \right) \phi_i = R.H.S. \end{aligned}$$

QED

If the proof looks too mathematical to you, just note that the charge distribution can be written in the form:

$$\rho_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_a e_{i,a} \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{i,a})$$

and the corresponding solution should be

$$\phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_a \frac{e_{i,a}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{i,a}|}$$

then the reciprocal theorem just becomes a trivial identity:

$$\sum_a e_{i,a} \sum_b \frac{e_{j,b}}{|\mathbf{x}_{i,a} - \mathbf{x}_{j,b}|} = \sum_b e_{j,b} \sum_a \frac{e_{i,a}}{|\mathbf{x}_{j,b} - \mathbf{x}_{i,a}|}$$

Now we divide the charge distribution into two parts, charges on the electrodes and the charges in the space between the anode and the cathode:

$$\rho_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_a \rho_{i,a}(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{x})$$

Since the potential has the same value at any point on a single conductor, we have

$$\int d^3\mathbf{x} \sum_a \rho_{i,a} \phi_j =: \sum_a V_{j,a} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \rho_{i,a} =: \sum_a V_{j,a} Q_{i,a}$$

where $V_{j,a}$ is the potential of a-th electrode for solution j and $Q_{i,a}$ is the total charge on it for solution i.

The reciprocal theorem then reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_a Q_{i,a} V_{j,a} + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \tilde{\rho}_i \phi_j \\ = \sum_a Q_{j,a} V_{i,a} + \int d^3\mathbf{x} \tilde{\rho}_j \phi_i \end{aligned}$$

Procedure to Find Solutions

The above form of the reciprocal theorem will prove very useful as we will see below. If there is no avalanche charge

$$\tilde{\rho} = 0$$

we have

$$\sum_a V_{i,a} Q_a = \sum_a Q_{i,a} V_a$$

where I have omitted suffix "j" assuming it represents a new solution for a new voltage configuration $\{V_a\}$ other than "i"s. If we have as many independent solutions as the number of conductors "n", this matrix eq. uniquely specifies the vector $\{Q_a\}$.

We can write this as

$$[Q_a] = [V_{i,a}]^{-1}[Q_{i,b}][V_b] =: [C_{ab}][V_b]$$

where $[C_{ab}]$ is a generalization of capacity and is symmetric and independent of the choice of the n solutions. It is completely determined by the nature of the dielectric medium and the geometry of the electrodes as we see below.

Notice first that the above eq. must hold also for "j" belonging to "i"s. We hence have

$$[Q_{j,a}]^T = [V_{i,a}]^{-1}[Q_{i,b}][V_{j,b}]^T = [C_{ab}][V_{j,b}]^T$$

Noting that $a, b, i,$ and j are dummy, we get

$$[C_{ab}]^T = [V_{j,b}]^{-1}[Q_{j,a}] = [C_{ab}]$$

which means $[C_{ab}]$ is symmetric. Let us now prove that $[C_{ab}]$ does not depend on the choice of solutions. Assume that we have another set of " n " independent solutions

$$(V'_{i,a}, Q'_{i,a}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

which can be expanded as

$$[V'_{i,a}] = [A_{ij}][V_{j,a}]$$

and

$$[Q'_{i,a}] = [A_{ik}][Q_{k,a}]$$

The $[C'_{ab}]$ defined with the new set of solutions is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} [C'_{ab}] &= [V'_{i,a}]^{-1}[Q'_{i,b}] \\ &= [V_{i,a}]^{-1}[A_{ij}]^{-1}[A_{ik}][Q_{k,b}] \\ &= [V_{i,a}]^{-1}[Q_{k,b}] = [C_{ab}] \end{aligned} \quad \text{QED}$$

Now let us move on to the problem with an avalanche charge distribution in the space between the electrodes. The solution to the Maxwell eq. can be written as the sum

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \tilde{\phi}$$

where ϕ_0 is the solution without the space charge under a given voltage configuration $\{V_a\}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ is the solution with the space charge and with all the electrodes grounded so as not to change the voltages given to the individual electrodes.

Signal charge can then be calculated as the charge on each electrode by $\tilde{\phi}$ alone.

As long as the space charge effect due to the avalanche charge is negligible, we don't need to know the field produced by it. All we need is the signal charge induced on each electrode. If the boundary condition set by the electrode configuration is simple we may solve for $\tilde{\phi}$ directly. If it is not so, the reciprocal theorem comes in handy to determine the response function of each electrode. In such a case we can prepare a set of solutions for the voltage setting in which all but a-th electrode are grounded and that there is no space charge. Denoting the solution in such a case as $\hat{\phi}_a(x; \hat{V}_a)$, we can write down the reciprocal theorem as

$$Q_a \hat{V}_a + \int d^3x \tilde{\rho}(x) \hat{\phi}_a(x) = 0$$

For a set of point-like charges, we have

$$\tilde{\rho}(x) = \sum_i q_i \delta^3(x - x_i)$$

and hence

$$\hat{V}_a Q_a(t) + \sum_i q_i \hat{\phi}_a(x_i(t)) = 0$$

Solving this for Q_a , we finally obtain

$$Q_a(t) = \sum_i q_i \left(-\frac{\hat{\phi}_a(x_i(t))}{\hat{V}_a} \right)$$

This means that the response function of a-th electrode is given by

$$F_a(x_i) = -\frac{\hat{\phi}_a(x_i)}{\hat{V}_a}$$

and the net charge on it by

$$Q_a(t) = \sum_i q_i F_a(x_i(t))$$

Ramo's Theorem

Differentiating $-Q_a$ with respect to t , we get Ramo's theorem for outgoing current:

$$I_a(t) = - \sum_i q_i \left(\frac{E_a(x_i(t))}{\hat{V}_a} \cdot \dot{x}_i(t) \right)$$

with

$$E_a(x) = -\nabla \hat{\phi}_a(x)$$

The signal time development is subject to the motion of the avalanche charges. The theorem shows that the contribution of an avalanche charge to the total charge collected by a-th electrode after a long


enough time is proportional to the charge times the potential difference experienced in the field "Ea". Now consider a pair of avalanche electron and its +ve ion partner which will not arrive at "a". Then they must arrive at some other electrodes with

$$\hat{V}_{b \neq a} = 0$$

The contributions of the pair hence cancel. The net charge really arriving at electrode "a" thus decides the response function. In practical applications of MPGD readout TPCs, we usually adopt such a slow enough readout scheme so as to make full use of available statistical power of primary and 2ndary ionization electrons created by an incident track.

In such a case, the net charge collected by a single electrode is determined by the size and the shape of the avalanche electron distribution when they arrive at the anode. It is, however, worth pointing out that the +ve ions mostly experience the potential

difference and hence mainly contribute to the signal in the case of micromegas, while in the case of GEM, the electrons dominate the signal generation.



Let us investigate this in a little bit more detail below.

A Pair of Parallel Plates

A pair of parallel conductive plates is a heuristic example since it approximates the situation with a MPGD such as micromegas or GEM and that it can be easily solved by method of mirror image.

Micromegas Case

In the case of a micromegas detector, the readout anode pads are usually much larger than the avalanche size as well as than the gap length. It is hence safe to assume that a single pad is going to collect all the real charge eventually and that the induction signals on the other pads are negligible all the time. In this case we can directly apply Ramo's theorem

$$I_a(t) = - \sum_i q_i \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}_a(\mathbf{x}_i(t))}{\hat{V}_a} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(t) \right)$$

with

$$\mathbf{E}_a(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \hat{\phi}_a(\mathbf{x})$$

We can set \hat{V}_a to be the voltage of the anode plane relative to the micromegas foil and assume that the foil itself is grounded. \mathbf{E}_a is then the original amplification field:

$$\mathbf{E}_a = \frac{\hat{V}_a}{\Delta} \mathbf{e}_z$$

where Δ is the amplification gap length.

Putting this into the above eq., we obtain

$$I_a(t) = - \sum_i q_i \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} v_i(t) \right)$$

where v_i is the average velocity of i-th charge. For electrons we have


$$v^-(t) := -v_d^- \theta \left(t - \frac{\Delta - z_0}{v_d^-} \right) \theta \left(\frac{\Delta}{v_d^-} - t \right)$$

while for positive ions

$$v^+(t) := v_d^+ \theta \left(t - \frac{\Delta - z_0}{v_d^-} \right) \theta \left(\frac{\Delta - z_0}{v_d^-} + \frac{\Delta - z_0}{v_d^+} - t \right)$$

where z_0 is the z-coordinate at which the electron/ion pair is created and v_d^\mp are the electron/ion drift velocities. We take the anode plane at $z=0$ and assume that the seed electron enters the amplification gap at $t=0$. Since most avalanche charges are created near the anode plane (say, in the last several steps) for a micromegas, the above formula tells us that its signal is mostly due to the motion of positive ions with a small but fast contribution from the electrons at the beginning.

GEM Case



In the case of a GEM detector, the most avalanche charges are created inside the GEM holes. We can safely assume that the +ve ions stay there while the electrons are drifting towards the anode plane and hence the current signal is almost entirely due to the electrons.

We can calculate the induced signal on the anode pads by method of image. The left figure shows a few image charges together with the original one at a distance of Z from the anode. The potential is then given as the sum of the contributions from all these charges:

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - (2Lk + Z))^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z + (2Lk + Z))^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z - (2L(k+1) - Z))^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + (z + (2L(k+1) - Z))^2}} \right]$$

Differentiating this with respect to x on the anode plane, we can calculate the E -field there. The E -field on the anode plane can then be translated into the surface charge density

$$\rho_p(x, y; Z) := -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial z} \right]_{(x,y,z;Z)=(x,y,0;Z)}$$

Integrating this over the pad in question we can get the induced signal charge:


$$Q_a(t) = \int_{D_a} da \rho_p(x, y; Z(t))$$

Be careful that this is the charge flowing into the pad, the outgoing signal charge has the opposite sign.

Notice that $Z(t)$ is given by

$$Z(t) = \theta \left(\frac{L}{v_d^-} - t \right) (L - \theta(t) v_d^- t)$$

The following figure shows the result of a sample calculation assuming an induction gap of 3mm, a pad width of 1.27mm, and a v_d - of 0.05[mm/ns]. The pad height is infinite.



Even for a point charge, we have finite signals on side pads but they return to zero when the charge arrives at the central pad as predicted by Ramo's theorem. Of course the actual signal width in practice (with a slow readout electronics) is mostly due to the diffusion in the drift region and the transfer and the induction gaps and the projected track width.

Signals on Electrodes

In the Case of Resistive Anode

Why resistive anode?

A micromegas signal is too narrow for ordinary readout pads to benefit from the charge centroid method. The spatial resolution will be dominated by so-called hodoscope effect as we will see later.

There are at least two ways out known to overcome this difficulty: (i) pixel readout matching the avalanche size; (ii) resistive anode readout to spread the signal. Option (i) can be regarded as the use of ultra fine pads, an extreme case of the conductive electrodes. Though it has a fundamental advantage to allow extracting all of the available information, there is nothing fundamentally different in terms of signal generations. We will hence concentrate on option (ii) from now on.


Although, in principle, the resistive anode is also applicable to GEMs, we assume below a micromegas detector, since the hodoscope effect is more prominent for it.

The structure of the resistive anode is shown below.

25 μm mylar with Cermet ($1 \text{ M}\Omega/\square$) glued onto the pads with 50 μm thick dry adhesive


M. Dixit et al. 2004

Cermet selection and gluing technique are essential



Signal Generation Process

If the resistive anode has a high enough surface resistance, it will be transparent for the E-field created by the quick motion of the avalanche charges while they are drifting in the gas. The signal on each electrode below the resistive foil will be the same as with conductive electrodes alone. We hence consider here the signal development after the avalanche electrons arriving at the surface of the resistive foil. The avalanche electrons then propagate along the surface of the resistive foil while inducing a mirror charge on the pad plane. Let us now derive the equation for the time development of the induced charge on the pad plane. We assume here that the gap between the resistive foil and the pad plane is small enough that the induced electric field is confined between this gap. Now consider a small cylinder (see (a) in the next figure) and apply the Gauss law to it.



Since there is no field above the resistive foil and in the pad plane and the field is nearly parallel with the side wall, we obtain

$$0 = \int_{\partial(a)} da \cdot \epsilon E = 4\pi(\rho_r + \rho_p) da$$

where we have introduced surface charge densities on the resistive foil and the pad plane:

$$\rho = \rho_r(x, y; t)\delta(z - d) + \rho_p(x, y; t)\delta(z)$$

We hence have a mirror relation expected for the thin (small d) insulator layer:


$$\rho_p = -\rho_r$$

Similarly if we apply the Gauss law to (b), we have

$$-\epsilon E_{\perp} da = \rho_r da$$

leading us to

$$E_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon}\rho_r$$



Let us now consider a loop shown in (c). If we surface-integrate the Faraday law over the area surrounded by this loop, we have

$$E_{\parallel} \cdot dx - (E_{\perp}(x + dx) - E_{\perp}(x)) d = 0$$

where we have ignored the magnetic flux passing through the loop. Taylor-expanding the 2nd term on the L.H.S. we have

$$E_{\parallel} - d \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_{\perp} = 0$$

Recalling

$$E_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho_r$$

we obtain

$$E_{\parallel} = -\frac{d}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rho_r$$

The current on the resistive foil should be proportional to this parallel field

$$\mathbf{J}_r = \sigma \delta(z - d) \mathbf{E}_{\parallel}$$

where the sigma is the conductivity of the resistive foil times the foil thickness which is assumed to be negligible. Putting these into the charge conservation law (continuity eq.) for the resistive foil

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_r \delta(z - d) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \cdot \mathbf{J}_r$$

and canceling out the delta function, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_r - \left(\frac{\sigma d}{\epsilon} \right) \Delta_2 \rho_r = 0$$

where Δ_2 is the 2-dimensional Laplacian. Introducing the capacitance per unit area (C) and the resistance per square area (R)

$$C := \frac{\epsilon}{d} \quad \text{and} \quad R := \frac{1}{\sigma}$$

and noting the mirror relation

$$\rho_p = -\rho_r$$

we finally arrive at the telegraph equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_p - \left(\frac{1}{RC} \right) \Delta_2 \rho_p = 0$$

This is none other than a 2-dim. diffusion eq. which has a Gaussian solution for a point

charge initial condition

$$\rho_p(r; t_0) = \frac{q}{2\pi r} \delta(r)$$

as we have seen in treating transportation of electrons in a gas:

$$\rho_p(r; t) = \frac{q}{2\pi\sigma_p^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{2\sigma_p^2}}$$

where


$$r := \sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}$$

and


$$\sigma_p^2 := \frac{2}{RC}(t - t_0)$$

Notice that the Gaussian width is decided by a single parameter "RC" and the elapse time. By integrating this Gaussian over a readout pad, we can calculate the induced charge on it as a function of time. Notice that the telegraph equation, being homogeneous, obeys superposition principle. The net signal is thus obtained by summing over all the avalanches arriving at different (x_0, y_0) 's and at different t_0 's.

For $R=1\text{M}\Omega/\text{sqare}$ and $C=1\text{pF}/\text{pad}$, gives a RC value of 1 micro second/pad area, meaning that after about 1 micro second a point charge spreads over a pad size. The following figure shows expected signals on pads about the avalanche:



In practice, the signal width is determined by the convolution of this and the spread due to the diffusion of seed electrons in the drift region as well as the track width.



Subjects Covered

- △ Liberation of electrons by ionization (dE/dx)
 - Classical theory of electron transportation in a chamber gas and its applications
 - Behaviors of electrons in E and B fields
 - Transport coefficients: V_d , C_d
 - Gas amplification
 - Creation of signals
 - ⊗ Coordinate measurement
- Paul
- Yulan
- Takeshi

Subjects Left Out

- Electron attachment

- CF₄, O₂ contamination, etc.

Paul

- Transportation of ions

- +ve ion feed back

Yulan

- Ion exchange and aging

These are very important in practice, but simply beyond the scope of my lectures.