#### GATE Simulation study

#### 25 / 7 / 2014 Ryo Hamanishi







#### Contents



#### • ROOT reference

- the algorithm of peak search

#### ROOT reference

#### ROOT reference



- There are three references for TSpectrum2.
  - For peak finding
    - "Identification of peaks in multidimensional coincidence  $\gamma$ -ray spectra"
  - For deconvolution
    - "EFFICIENT ONE AND TWO DIMENTIONAL GOLD DECONVOLUTION AND ITS APPLICATIO TO  $\gamma$ -RAY SPECTRA DECOMPOSITION"
  - For eliminating background
    - "BACKGROUND ELIMINATION METHODS FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL COINCIDENCE γ-RAY SPECTRA"
- These are published by Miroslav Morhac (Institute of Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences)

Identification of peaks in multidimensional coincidence  $\gamma$ -ray spectra (for peak search)



• Study peak search algorithm for photon distribution

• This paper introduces the algorithm for multidimensional spectra measurement.

#### Introduction



- The progress in the nuclear structure understanding depends on the ability to analyze correctly multidimensional spectra.
- The positions of identified peaks can be fed as initial estimate into peak fitting.
- There are many well-known method of peak search for 1 dimensional spectra, but almost of them cannot be directly extended to search for peaks in multidimensional space.
- The algorithm introduced in this paper is used for especially 2 dimensional spectra. (2-fold coincidence γ-ray peaks)



Suppose that the number of counts in a 2-dimensional γ-ray spectrum in the channel *x*, *y* can be approximated by

$$N(x, y) = G_1(x, y) + G_2(x) + G_3(y) + B + Cx + Dy$$

-  $G_1$  is 2-dimensional gauss.

$$G_{1}(x, y) = A_{1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^{2})}\left[\frac{(x-x_{1})^{2}}{\sigma_{x_{1}}^{2}} - \frac{2\rho(x-x_{1})(y-y_{1})}{\sigma_{x_{1}}\sigma_{y_{1}}} + \frac{(y-y_{1})^{2}}{\sigma_{y_{1}}^{2}}\right]\right\}$$

-  $G_2$  and  $G_3$  are 1-dimensional gauss.

$$G_{2,3}(\alpha) = A \exp\left\{-\frac{(\alpha - \alpha_0)^2}{2\sigma_{\alpha_0}^2}\right\}$$

- B+Cx+Dy is the assumed background







• They shall replace the mixed partial second derivatives by the mixed partial second differences in both dimensions.

$$\begin{split} \overline{S(i, j)} &= N(i+1, j+1) - 2N(i, j+1) + N(i-1, j+1) \\ &- 2N(i+1, j) + 4N(i, j) - 2N(i-1, j) \\ &+ N(i+1, j-1) - 2N(i, j-1) + N(i-1, j-1) \end{split}$$

• In order to eliminate statistical fluctuations, they shall employ the smoothed mixed particle second differences in both dimensions. The smoothing is achieved by summing S(*i*, *j*) in a given window

$$S_{w}(i,j) = \sum_{i_{1}=i-r}^{i+r} \sum_{j_{1}=j-r}^{j+r} S(i_{1},j_{1}) \qquad w = 2r+1$$

• This is repeated z times to optimize the  $S_w$ .  $(S_{z,w})$ 





• The contents of the channel *i*, *j* of this difference spectrum can be expressed :

$$S_{z,w}(i,j) = \sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j+r} C_{z,w}(i_1-i,j_1-j)N(i_1,j_1) \qquad r=z \cdot m+1$$
$$m=(w-1)/2$$

• Elements of the filter matrix  $C_{z,w}(i, j)$  can be facterized to produces of vector elements

$$C_{z,w}(i,j) = C_{z,w}(i) \cdot C_{z,w}(j)$$

$$C_{z,w}(i) = \sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} C_{z-1,w}(i_1)$$

• Standard deviation of  $S_{z,w}$  is defined as :

$$F_{z,w}(i,j) = \sqrt{\sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j+r} C_{z,w}^2(i_1-i)C_{z,w}^2(j_1-j)N(i_1,j_1)}$$



• Another way to compute the coefficient of the filter vector C

$$C_{\sigma} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

 $\sigma$  : standard deviation

x = i

of searched peak

- The choice of these calculations is optional and depends on application.
- In general independently of the way of calculation of the  $S_{z,w}$  filter can be expressed :

$$S(i, j) = \sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j+r} C(i_1 - i)C(j_1 - j)N(i_1, j_1)$$

$$F(i, j) = \sqrt{\sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j+r} C^2(i_1-i)C^2(j_1-j)N(i_1, j_1)}$$



- Example1
  - $G_{IA}(A_{IA}=100, x_{IA}=60, y_{IA}=8),$  $G_{IB}(A_{IB}=50, x_{IB}=180, y_{IB}=200)$
  - $G_{2A}(A_{2A}=200, x_{2A}=60),$  $G_{2B}(A_{2B}=180, x_{2B}=180)$
  - $G_{3A}(A_{3A}=200, x_{3A}=80),$  $G_{3B}(A_{3B}=500, x_{3B}=200)$
  - Background
    - 800 1.176x 1.96y
  - $\sigma$  was set to 6 and  $\rho = 0$

 $N(x, y) = G_1(x, y) + G_2(x) + G_3(y) + B + Cx + Dy$ 





- two free parameters were set to w=7 and z=7.
- The different spectrum calculated with these are shown following :



Figure 2: The difference spectrum for the spectrum from Fig. 1

Figure 5: True and false local maxima for the two-dimensional peak



- The position of one of the local maxima located in the middle of the surrounding ones corresponds to the position of two-dimensional peak.
  - true peak (middle peak)
  - false peak (other 4 peaks)
- False peak should be ignored by the searching algorithm



Figure 5: True and false local maxima for the two-dimensional peak



- Example2
  - one peak from experiment of positron annihilation
- It is impossible to find five maxima in γ-ray spectrum.
- It is needed to suppress in a way the false maxima in the  $S_{z,w}$ .



Figure 6: Spectrum with one peak from experiment of positron annihilation





Figure 8: Two-dimensional  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum





• Define spectra of the one-parameter smoothed  $S_{z,w}$  in both x and y independent variables

$$X(i, j) = \sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i+r} C(i_1 - i)N(i_1, j)$$

$$Y(i, j) = \sum_{j_1 = j - r}^{j + r} C(j_1 - j) N(i, j_1)$$

- Example z = w = 0
- From the filters defined in this way we can observe that in the expected position of two-dimensional peak

|            |       | $C_s(i,j)$ |    |       | $C_x(i,j)$ |    |       | $C_y(i,j)$ |    |       |
|------------|-------|------------|----|-------|------------|----|-------|------------|----|-------|
| i<br>$j_1$ | $i_1$ | i-1        | i  | i + 1 | i-1        | i  | i + 1 | i-1        | i  | i + 1 |
| j-1        |       | 1          | -2 | 1     | 1          | -2 | 1     | 1          | 1  | 1     |
| j          |       | -2         | 4  | -2    | 1          | -2 | 1     | -2         | -2 | -2    |
| j + 1      |       | 1          | -2 | 1     | 1          | -2 | 1     | 1          | 1  | 1     |

Table 1: Examples of the filters  $C_s(i, j)$  for z = w = 0 according to (10) for two-parameter SSD and  $C_x(i), C_y(j)$  for one-parameter SSDs in two-dimensional space.



• In the position of true local maximum of  $S(i_t, j_t)$  the following conditions satisfied (S is different from  $S_{z,w}$ )

 $\underline{S(i_{\underline{t}}, j_{\underline{t}}) > 0} \text{ and } \underline{X(i_{\underline{t}}, j_{\underline{t}}) < 0} \text{ and } \underline{Y(i_{\underline{t}}, j_{\underline{t}}) < 0}$ 

• Along with this condition also the following conditions, saying that in the point *X*, *Y* in both dimensions have local minima, must be satisfied

$$\frac{X(i_{t-1}, j_t) \ge X(i_t, j_t) \le X(i_{t+1}, j_t) < 0}{Y(i_t, j_{t-1}) \ge Y(i_t, j_t) \le Y(i_t, j_{t+1}) < 0}$$

• The above condition is not satisfied in the point of false local maxima

$$\underline{S(i_f, j_f)} > 0$$
 and  $(\underline{X(i_f, j_f)} \ge 0 \text{ or } \underline{Y(i_f, j_f)} \ge 0)$ 



• Applied the conditions to figure7



Figure 10: Two-parameter SSD spectrum from Fig. 7 after application of the condition (20)

the algorithm to search for peaks in two-dimensional spectrum is as follows:



Figure 11: Two-parameter SSD spectrum from Fig. 9 after application of the condition (20)



- Algorithm
  - 1. using  $S(i, j) = \sum_{i_1=i-r}^{j+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j+r} C(i_1-i)C(j_1-j)N(i_1, j_1)$  it calculates spectrum of the smoothed second differences in both dimensions
  - 2. using  $F(i, j) = \sqrt{\sum_{i_1=i-r}^{i_1+r} \sum_{j_1=j-r}^{j_1+r} C^2(i_1-i)C^2(j_1-j)N(i_1, j_1)}$  it calculates spectrum of standard deviation of the smoothed second differences
  - 3. for  $i \in \langle 0, n_1 1 \rangle$ ,  $j \in \langle 0, n_2 1 \rangle$ , where  $n_1, n_2$  are sizes of the spectrum, we search for local maxima in the spectrum of *S*
  - 4. once it has found a local maximum in the position  $i_l$ ,  $j_l$  then using  $X(i, j) = \sum_{i_l=i-r}^{i+r} C(i_l-i)N(i_l, j)$   $Y(i, j) = \sum_{j_l=j-r}^{j+r} C(j_l-j)N(i, j_l)$ it calculates spectra of X, Yrespectively. Then applying condition  $S(i_p, j_l) > 0$  and  $X(i_p, j_l) < 0$  and  $Y(i_p, j_l) < 0$  it decides whether the found local maximum is true of false.
  - 5. It tests *S*(*i*, *j*<sub>*l*</sub>), *F*(*i*, *j*<sub>*l*</sub>), *i*∈<0,*n*<sub>1</sub>-1> for the shape of the peak in *x* dimension. Likewise it tests *y* dimension.
  - 6. If the shape of the peak in both dimensions satisfied these criteria we have found two-dimensional peak in the position  $i_l, j_l$ .
  - 7. It repeats the whole procedure for another local maximum from the point 3 on.