```
Minutes of the 15th "ILC-CLIC e+ studies" meeting
```

Date: July 22nd, 17:00(JST) 10:00(CET), 2010

```
A part of Attendees (whom Omori was able to hear the voices):
Louis(CERN), Eugene(NSC-KIPT), Peter(NSC-KIPT),
Chehab(IPNL/LAL), Chenghai(IHEP/LAL), Chaikovska(LAL),
Sabine(DESY), Andreas(DESY), Andriy(DESY-Z),
Takahashi(Hiroshima), Kataoka(Seikei), Urakawa(KEK),
Suwada(KEK), and Omori(KEK)
```

Agenda:

1. Analytical study of pol. e+ production by Compton sources: Eugene-san

: Omori

: Chehab-san

- 2. Summary of POSIPOL 2010
- 3. CHANNELING 2010 conference

Presentations:

http://www-jlc.kek.jp/~omori/ILC-CLIC-e+Studies/20100722/ 20100722-EugeneBulyak\_theory.pdf

http://www-jlc.kek.jp/~omori/ILC-CLIC-e+Studies/20100722/ 20100722-Omori\_POSIPOL2010Summary

http://www-jlc.kek.jp/~omori/ILC-CLIC-e+Studies/20100722/ 20100722-Chehab\_Channel2010Announce.pdf

1. Analytical study of pol. e+ production by Compton sources:

Eugene-san presented the analytical study of pol. e+ production by Compton sources.

PLease look at "20100722-EugeneBulyak\_theory.pdf".

(a) Why analytical study?

 Main output parameters yield (current) of positrons, polarization

\* determined with

- (1) spectrum of gammas (max energy)
- (2) preselection (collimation)
- (3) thickness (and geometry) of conversion target
- (4) material of conversion target
- (5) postselection selection of subspectra of pol. e+

Simulations produce very precise parameters of feasibility for a given set of input parameters (see, e.g. Wei Gai-san simulations or Sabine-san's group ones, or other colleagues), but unable to indicate an optimal set for required yield and/or polarization.

Adreas-san pointed out that "unable to indicate an optimal set" was too strong words. We can make optimization by simulations.

(b) A priori reduction of number of parameters

- \* Two parameters from the list in (a) considered as given
  (1) max energy of gammas limited by the beam dynamics in Compton storage rings (the higher the better);
  (4) material of the target (the heavier the better).
- \* Remaining 3 parameters (3D space)
  - (2) preselection (collimation)
  - (3) thickness (and geometry) of conversion target
  - (5) postselection selection of subspectra of pol. e+

## (c) Analytical Model

Positron at output is born from laser photon as a result of subsequent transformations/selections. Each step may change polarization and/or intensity. The steps are described with simplest possible model aimed at obtaining the result in a closed form (at least in quadratures) to allow search for optimum.

- (i) Monochromatic laser photons
- (ii) Ideal Compton spectrum of gammas (scattering off monochromatic electrons with parallel trajectories)
- (iii) Preselection discards gammas with energies lower than Epre
- (iv) Pair production cross section independent on the energy of gammas, uniform distribution of positrons over energy
- (v) Linear energy losses of positrons traversing the target (ionization losses)
- (vi) Post-selection discards e+ with energies lower than Epost

Louis-san made a question:

The approximation "pair production crosssection independent on the energy of gammas" seemed too rough.

Eugene-san's answer:

When the gamma energy is high, roughly > 15 MeV, the approximation is not bad, because the energy dependence is logarithmic. Low energy gamma-rays

are thrown way by the preselection. So, the approximation has no problem.

(d) Distributions assumed/obtained in the Analytical Model:

(1) Gamma-ray distribution (laser is circulaly pol.).

Eugene-san discussed effect of the preselection (effect of the gamma-ray collimation).

(2) e+ distribution (assume gamma-ray is monoenergetic).

Omori-pointed out that the distribution (2), silde #6, was not correct. In silde #6, number of "+" polarized positrons and number of "-" polarized were the same. Howevere, if we start from the "+" polarized gamma-rays, the number of "+" polarized positrons should be larger than the number of "-" polarized positrons.

(3) e+ distribution (assume gamma-ray dist. of (1))

Eugene-san discussed treatment of the target thickness.

(4) e+ distribution (include target thickness)

Eugene-san discussed effect of the post-selection (effect of positron energy selection) and optimization.

- (5) Yield as a function of post-selection (thin target).
- (6) Optimal target thickness (rl) vs. energy of post-selection.
- (e) Summary:
  - \* Simple analytical model able to localize volume of parameters where to search for optimum.
  - \* Given polarization degree require optimal target and selections

\* Examples: tungsten,

E\_g:max = 20MeV
 (i) Epost = 15MeV -->
 yield 1.7e-3; polarization 0.82;
 optimal thickness 0.185 rl
 (ii) Epost = 10MeV -->
 yield 1.25e-2; polarization 0.62;
 optimal thickness 0.344 rl
Plots of the yield and the polarization were
presented as a function of the post-selection
energy. At each post-selection energy, optimal
target thickness for the energy was assumed.

- (f) Outlook:
  - \* The rod target seems to be effective if high polarization required.
  - \* Theoretical curves to validate in a few points with simulations (realistic Compton spectra, positron dynamics in the target, etc.)
    (10MeV gammas with 2MeV post-selection; 0.4 rl Ti target shows good agreement with Sabina-san et al. simulations)
- 2. Summary of POSIPOL 2010:

Omori made a summary of POSIPOL 2010.

Please look at "20100722-Omori\_POSIPOL2010Summary.pdf".

(a) POSIPOL 2010 outline:

Date: 31/May-2/June Place: KEK 44 participants (including 4 via WebEx/Phone) 36 presentations with discussions

```
http://atfweb.kek.jp/posipol/2010/
```

- (b) 36 Presentations distributed in 10 sessions:
  - \* Welcome and Scope: (2 talks)
  - \* Status of e+ sources for colliders :(3 talks)
  - \* Compton-based e+ sources for colliders(ILC&CLIC):(10 talks)
  - \* Physics: (1 talk)
  - \* R/D Plan (ILC-CLIC working group) : (1 talk)
  - \* Compton-based X-ray and gamma-ray sources : (4 talks) (including appl. to material physics)
  - \* Undulator-based e+ source for ILC:(4 talks)
  - \* Hybrid and channeling e+ sources (CLIC&ILC): (7 talks)
  - \* Liquid Pb and Pure Conventional e+ sources (ILC): (3 talks)
  - \* Summary: (1 talk)

(c) Summary of each session:

In the summary, Omori presented a slide from Louis-san's talk. The slide expressed that how the requirements to the CLIC and ILC e+ source were large, in the comparison with SLC.

```
- Number of e+ per second:
    CLIC = 18xSLC
    ILC = 65xSLC
```

In the following, in (c-1) to (c-10), each session is

summarized.

(c-1) Welcome:

\* K. Nishikawa (KEK) - The JAHEP community's master plan Highest priority is given to ILC Before ILC, promote flavor physics at KEKB and J-PARC - Action before the ILC approval ILC R&D Completion/commissioning/improvements of J-PARC Upgrade of KEKB/Belle Collaboration in LHC/ATLAS (c-2) Status of e+ Sources for Colliders (3 talks): \* Status of ILC e+ Souce: K. Yokoya (KEK) - Design reconsideration: SB2009 undulator location, matching device, ,,, - R/Ds Prototype undulotor Target prototype (in Air) Target Mockup (in Vacuum) Flux concentrator (design study) \* Status of e+ Sources for Super B factories: T. Kamitani(KEK) PLans of Super KEKB and SuperB of INFN \* Status of BEPC II e+ Source : G. Pei (IHEP) Impressive progress from BEPC to BEPC II (c-3) Compton-based e+ sources for colliders (10 talks): \* Compton-based e+ sources for ILC: M. Kuriki (Hiroshima) Overview of Compton sources: Linac scheme, Compton ring, and ERL scheme \* Linac-based Compton e+ source for ILC: V. Yakimenko (BNL) CO2 laser + Linac : Polarized e+ source & High Intensity X-ray Source \* Optimal Compton ring for e+ production: E. Bulyak (KIPT) Laser-cooled Compton ring and it's optimization \* Compton ring for e+ production w/2-micron-laser: P. Gladkikh (KIPT) Compton ring with 2-micron laser seems as realizable at attractive ring acceptance and gamma-beam intensity, but with "Crazy" parameters. \* Energy compression to optimize the stacking: R. Chehab New scheme of energy compression:

an isochronous line + Deflector

- \* Status of 4-M cavity for ATF : F. Zomer (LAL) The 4-mirror cavity and the laser is ready at LAL and coming to KEK
- \* 3D 4-M cavities for intense photon gen.: T. Akagi (Hiroshima) Construct a calculation model incorporating the effects of image rotation, and compare the model and measurements.
- \* Stacking simulation update: F. Zimmermann (CERN) Stacking simulation for CLIC "two stacking ring" scheme. See the minutes of the phone meeting on 24-June-2010.
- \* e+ production and capture with a mult-IPs: I. Chaikovska (LAL)
  Photons flux with multiple IPs line. 10 IPs with 2 crossing
  LASERs. Optimal -> 5 IPs: detaild study for 5 IPs
- \* Experiment with the 2-M cavity at KEK-ATF: S. Miyoshi (H) The enhancement factor was improved from 250 to 760. Next step will be Bunch by bunch gamma ray measurement.

(c-4) Physics:

\* New from physics with polarized beams: G. Moortgat-Pick (DESY) Benefits of the e+ beam polarization in ILC and CLIC were summarized.

(c-5) R/D plan:

- \* New milestones for the CLIC/ILC e+ generationWG: L. Rinolfi (CERN) Plan 2010 - 2011 for Asian, American, and European labs were reviewed.
- (c-6) Compton-based X-ray and gamma-ray sources:
  - \* Compton Programs in KEK: J. Urakawa (KEK) Development for Next Generation Compact High Brightness X-ray Source using Super Conducting RF Acceleration Technique
  - \* Opportunities for materials science w/inv-Compton X-ray:S. Adachi Compact ERL as a laser Compton X-ray source Scientific opportunities;
    - Ultrafast X-ray sciences
    - Phase contrast X-ray imaging
  - \* Nuclear Applications of Laser Compton X/gamma-rays: R. Hajima Mono-energetic X/gamma-ray generated by LCS can be used for nuclear security applications: detection and measurement of nuclear material. Hajima propose an ERL-based gamma-ray source for nuclear safeguards applications in the next-generation nuclear fuel cycle.
  - \* Compton ring for nuclear waste management: P. Gladkikh (Compton Ring for Nuclear Resonance Fluorescence)

APPLICATIONS:

- nondestructive assay of radioactive nuclides;
- management of nuclear waste;
- advanced safeguard technologies of non-proliferation
- (c-7) Undulator-based e+ sources for ILC
  - \* Polarization issues with the undulator based sources: W.Gai(BNL)
    - Higher harmonics are important and can influence the overall polarization.
    - Polarization and yields are always conflicting, compromises need to be made.
    - Lower energy drive beam (150 GeV) is more practical in achieving high degree polarization than higher drive beam energy (250 GeV).

Louis-san made question:

Why lower energy drive beam is more practical? Omori's answer:

Larger angular divergence makes the collimation easier.

- \* Rotation vacuum seal & flux concentrator update:T. Piggott(LLNL)
  - Continued analysis of flux concentrator- extending to different geometries stress, thermal, and B-field are evaluated.
  - Beginning setup and planning for vacuum seal tests.
- \* Status of e+ Source Simulation in Zeuthen: A. Ushakov (DESY)
  - Polarized Positron Source Simulations (PPS-Sim):
  - Geant4-based application for e+ source modeling
  - Simulation Results for Conventional Source
- \* Discussion toward re-baseline: All

   Prototype test of vacuum rotating seal with the real size disk (wheel) is important.
- (c-8) Hybrid and channeling e+ sources:
  - \* Channeling of Radiations from Leptons to X Photon: S.B.Dabagov
     Channeling of charged particle and channeling radiation
     Wave guiding of X-ray and neutron by capillary.
  - \* Asym. of bremsstrahlung from moderately relativistic pol. e- (e+) and its feasibility for polarimetry: A.Potylisyn
    - bremsstrahlung polarimetor

  - \* Atomic undulator to generate unpolarized e+ for CLIC and ILC: R. Chehab
    - An axially oriented crystal having very high fields needs 2 orders of magnitude less energetic e- beams

to generate photons.

- Separating the crystal-atomic-undulator from the conversion target, enables use of only photons, decreasing significantly the level of PEDD.
- \* CLIC e+ source for the baseline configuration: L. Rinolfi (CERN)
   Overall and detailed picture of CLIC hybrid source (baseline).
- \* Optimization of the CLIC Positron Source: A. Vivoli (CERN)
  - Optimization of the capture section for non-polarized e+s is necessary and will be performed soon.
- \* Hybrid Experiment at KEK Linac: T. Takahashi (Hiroshima U.)
   Experimental study is ongoing at KEK Linac.

(c-9) Liquid Pb and Pure Conventional e+ sources:

- \* Liquid Pb Status: T. Omori
  - BN window test prepared at KEKB ring.
  - System test of Liquid Pb target is planned at ATF linac.
- \* Shockwave simulation of the liquid Pb target: N. Okuda
  - Heat simulation was done based on Geant4 and seemed OK.
  - Shockwave simulation is under developping and still has problems.
- \* Purely Conventional: T. Takahashi
  - survey (again)parameters of conventional targets in the "drive beam energy"—"target thickness" plane
  - See if conventional sources survives the ILC criteria
- (c-10) Concluding Remarks:

At the last of the workshop, Omori (LOC chair) expressed the appreciation.

- Thanks, all participants.
- Thanks, all IPC members.
- Thanks, all LOC members.
- Especially to Ms. Ikeda, Ms. Kusama, and Mr. Araki.
- (d) Next and Next^2 workshop information:

During the workshp period, the International Program Committee (IPC) had a discussion on the next POSIPOL venue. IPC continued the discussion after the workshp via e-mail exchange. The IPC decided the venues of the next and the next^2 workshop.

- POSIPOL 2011 at Beijing hosted by IHEP.
- POSIPOL 2012 at Hamburg hosted by DESY.

3. CHANNELING 2010 conference:

Chehab-san announced the international conference CHANNELING 2010: Charged and Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena.

Please look at "20100722-Chehab\_Channel2010Announce.pdf".

CHANNELING 2010 date: October 3-8 venue: Ferrara, Italy

## web-site

http://www.lnf.infn.it/conference/channeling2010/home.html

TOPICS

- \* Coherent scattering of relativistic charged particles in matter.
- \* Radiation of relativistic charged particles in periodic structures (coherent bremsstrahlung, channeling radiation, resonant transition radiation, diffraction radiation, parametric x-ray radiation, LPM effect).
- \* Crystal channeling, volume capture and crystal reflection of positive ions: theory and experiments; crystal assisted collimation in hadron colliders.
- \* Channeling of radiations in capillary systems (micro- and nanochanneling, nanotubes, nanoporous).
- \* Novel types of sources for electromagnetic radiation (FEL, Thomson scattering, laser plasma acceleration).
- \* Applications of channeling phenomena (bending of the beams, positron sources, powerful radiation sources, x-ray waveguides, capillary/polycapillary optics, novel x-ray table-top instruments.

Chehab-san will make two presentations in CHANNELING 2010; Positron Sources using Channeling (Hybrid target) and Report of POSIPOL 2010.

Chehab-san reminded the colleagues involved in "ILC/CLIC e+ studies" that their participation at CHANNELING 2010 is fully wished. The abstracts must be sent by the end of the month, before July 23d preferably. The registration is open with a wider deadline (up to October 3d)

4. Announcement:

Louis-san made an announcement to remind the colleagues the CLIC CDR deadline.

The date of the next phone meeting will be on September/2nd.

Reported by T. OMORI