Table:	reviced: Comparis	son of 3 simula	tions
(The values of	some parameters	are still Need	-To-Be-Comfermed)

(a) Vivoli-san 1 : Vovoli-san' "A_Positron_	s presentati Capture_for_	on in Posipol 2 the_Compton_Sch	2007 neme.ppt"
(b) Vivoli-san 2 : Vovoli-san' "RESULTS OF SECTION WIT	s recent rep PARMELA SIMU H PHOTONS FR	ort LATIONS OF THE OM 10 LASER CAV	CAPTURE /ITIES"
(c) Wanming-san : Wanming-san "Capture und	's recent re er different	port target and Pz	lower cut.ppt"
Viv	oli-san 1	Vivoli-san 2	Wanming-san
Ne+(captured)/Ng [%] (no cut) Number of collision points Distance from CP to target [m] Peak acc. gradient [MV/m] Average acc. gradient [MV/m] Iris diameter of Acc. [mm] Distance from target to AMD [m AMD (OMD) length [m] AMD (OMD) field [T]	~2 1 10 ~15 (*1) 7 (*1) 46 (*1)] ? 0.5 6-0.5	0.77 10 40 7 (*2) ~3.3 (*2) 40 (*2) ? 0.5 6-0.5	~0.5 10 40 ~15 (*3) 7 (*3) 60 (*3) 0.5 0.5 5-0.25

(*1) In "Vivoli-san 1", he did not assume particular scheme. He just assumed Compton.

target thickness [X0]

phase window [deg]

(*2) In "Vivoli-san 2", he assumed the ERL scheme. This scheme requires 100 m sec pulse length of RF acceleration.

0.4

?

0.4

?

0.5 (*4)

+-7.5

- (*3) In "Wanming-san", he used the code which was developed for the undulator with minimum modifications for the Compton.
- (*4) When t=0.5, Ne+(captured)/Ng = $\sim 0.5\%$ was obtained with no cut.